

SPED102

Why People Believe Weird Things: Making Rational Decisions in an Irrational World

S2 Day 2016

Institute of Early Childhood

Contents

General Information	2
Learning Outcomes	5
General Assessment Information	5
Assessment Tasks	6
Delivery and Resources	17
Unit Schedule	18
Policies and Procedures	20
Graduate Capabilities	21
Changes from Previous Offering	26
Where Do I Start?	26

Disclaimer

Macquarie University has taken all reasonable measures to ensure the information in this publication is accurate and up-to-date. However, the information may change or become out-dated as a result of change in University policies, procedures or rules. The University reserves the right to make changes to any information in this publication without notice. Users of this publication are advised to check the website version of this publication [or the relevant faculty or department] before acting on any information in this publication.

General Information

Unit convenor and teaching staff Convenor Mark Carter sped102@mq.edu.au Contact via Email X5A106 Monday 2-3pm Tutor Nicholas Best nicholas.best@mq.edu.au Contact via Email X5A113 See iLearn site Tutor Kathleen Doolan kathleen.doolan@mq.edu.au Contact via Email X5A113 See iLearn site Tutor Ian Krycer ian.krycer@mq.edu.au Contact via Email X5A113 See iLearn site Tutor Andrew Wowk andrew.wowk@mq.edu.au Contact via Email X5A113 See iLearn site Tutor Sarah Carlon sarah.carlon@mq.edu.au Contact via Email X5A113 See iLearn site Tutor

Nicole Lees

nicole.lees@mq.edu.au

Contact via Email

X5A113

See iLearn site

Tutor

Ryan Pysar

TBA

Contact via Email

X5A113

See iLearn site

Tutor

Magdalena Durrant

TBA

Contact via Email

X5A113

See iLearn site

Greg Robertson

greg.robertson@mq.edu.au

Credit points

3

Prerequisites

Corequisites

Co-badged status

Unit description

This unit provides an introduction to why people make irrational decisions and how an understanding of the scientific process can assist making rational decisions in everyday life. The unit will include examination of flaws in human perception and cognitive biases, characteristics of pseudoscience as well as features and limitations of scientific approaches. Principles discussed in the unit will be illustrated with practical examples including paranormal claims, questionable educational interventions and dubious health claims. You will be equipped with tools to evaluate evidence, strengthen your reasoning and improve your decision making in both academic study as well as everyday life.

Important Academic Dates

Information about important academic dates including deadlines for withdrawing from units are

available at https://www.mq.edu.au/study/calendar-of-dates

Learning Outcomes

On successful completion of this unit, you will be able to:

Students will describe and critically evaluate the basic features and limitations of a scientific approach to evaluating evidence and claims.

Students will identify typical indicators of pseudo-science in evaluating evidence and claims.

Students will critically evaluate at a basic level a range of claims and evidence from a range of academic and non-academic sources.

Students will explain common factors that contribute to errors in human judgement when evaluating claims.

General Assessment Information

Grading Procedures

With the exception of participation, results for other assessments will be reported as either grades (i.e., HD, D, Cr, P, F) or moderated scores. Raw scores for all assessments will be moderated according to the University guidelines so that work judged to be of a given standard is awarded a moderated score within the following distribution: High Distinction 85-100; Distinction 75-84, Credit 65-74; Pass 50-64; Fail 0-49.

For example, if it is judged that the HD standard for a particular assessment is met by work scoring 90-100, raw scores will be adjusted so that students received moderated scores between 85 and 100. Similarly, if it is judged that the Pass standard is met by work scoring 47-60, raw scores will be adjusted so that students received moderated scores between 50 and 64. This moderation takes into account both the stated performance standards for the assessment component and the degree of difficulty of the specific task.

Assessment Weighting

All assessment tasks must be attempted for students to be eligible for an overall passing grade in the unit. Students who do not attempt all assessment tasks will receive a maximum unit mark of 40 and an overall grade of Fail (F) for the unit.

Students are required to gain an overall pass on the unit, but do not have to pass all assessment components. If you perform poorly on one component, you may compensate for this with a better performance in the other components.

Consistent with the University assessment policy, moderated scores will be used in the calculation of final grades. The final unit score will be the weighted average of the moderated scores for the unit.

Appeals Against Grades for individual Assessment Components

If any student has concern about the marking of an assessment, they must:

- 1. Consult the member of staff who marked the work.
- 2. If there is no satisfactory resolution, an appeal should be made in writing to the unit convenor within one week of the marked assessment being returned or of results being made available. The student should explicitly state the basis of the appeal.

The unit convenor will review the marking and may, at their discretion, ask for re-marking by a second marker. If re-marking by a second marker is judged appropriate, the final mark will normally be the average of the two marks awarded for the assessment task. Students should note that the revised mark for the task may be higher, the same or lower than the original mark. Please note that it is unit policy to double mark all failing assessments.

The decision of the unit convenor is final.

Assessment Tasks

Name	Weighting	Hurdle	Due
Participation	5%	No	Varies
Topic Quizzes	20%	No	Weeks nominated in schedule
Assignment	35%	No	9/9/16 at 11:59 pm
Final Examination	40%	No	Examination period

Participation

Due: **Varies** Weighting: **5**%

Students may earn up to a total of 5% through participation in nominated online or in-class unit related activities (e.g., presentations in class, completing online questionnaires that will be used as the basis for tutorial discussion). **Students must accumulate a minimum of**10 participation points (worth half a mark each) over the course of the semester to be eligible the full 5%. Note that some activities are compulsory and full marks for participation cannot be gained without completion of these activities.

Additional participation may be taken into account where requests for special consideration are made. Planned participation activities are detailed below but additional activities may be announced throughout the semester.

Participation points may only be earned for in-tutorial activities when students are attending their registered tutorial. Students attending out of their registered tutorial group will not be eligible for participation points allocated within that tutorial.

Please note that the end of semester ASGS questionnaire is compulsory and you may only earn a maximum of 8 points (4%) if you do not complete this questionnaire.

Some participation points involve completion of online activities. We import the record of your

completion of these activities by student number so it is critical that your student number is entered correctly. Once you have successfuly completed and submitted the online activity, you will receive a confirmation email at the address you provide. Keep a copy of this email. If you do not receive this email, you have not successfully submitted the activity. Claims regarding completion of online activities will not be considered without the confirmation email.

Activity

Date Due

Participation Points

*(1) Online registration for Psychic Personality Reading Experiment

AND

*(2) Online rating of Psychic Personality Reading Experiment

(Both tasks must be completed for allocation of any points).

(1) 9pm 5/8/16

AND

(2) 9pm 7/8/16

2

*Beginning of unit online completion of ASGS Questionnaire and Rotter's Locus of Control Scale 9pm 7/8/16

2

*Participation in in-class eyewitness memory experiment

Week 3

2

Bonus point for best ology

Week 5

1

Participation in preparation for bad science proposal

Week 7

2

Participation in presentation of bad science proposal (must assist in preparation in Week 7 to be eligible)

Week 9

2

Bonus point for best bad science proposal

Week 9

1

**End of unit online completion of of ASGS Questionnaire

9pm 6/11/16

2

Spokesperson reporting back on small group discussion (preference to students who have not previously presented)

Tutorials - Multiple opportunities

Multiple (2 points each)

Note: *Task may be linked to research data collection. Students may decline to have data included for research purposes but participation will still count.

**Task is compulsory and must be completed to be eligible for the full 5% for participation. If you do not complete this task, you will be eligible for a maximum of 8 participation points (4%).

On successful completion you will be able to:

- Students will critically evaluate at a basic level a range of claims and evidence from a range of academic and non-academic sources.
- Students will explain common factors that contribute to errors in human judgement when evaluating claims.

Topic Quizzes

Due: Weeks nominated in schedule

Weighting: 20%

A total of 9 Topic Quizzes will be conducted and each will be of 5 minutes duration. They will typically consist of 5 multiple choice questions. These quizzes will assess key knowledge and understanding of required reading for the relevant tutorial (typically 3-4 questions) as well as key concepts from the preceding topic (typically 1-2 questions). For example, the quiz conducted in the second week of the semester will cover the reading for Topic 2 and the content of Topic 1. Please note that the required readings for the first quiz include the Unit Guide. Dates for quizzes and required readings are available in the Unit Schedule section of this guide.

The best 7 scores in these quizzes will count toward the final mark for this component of the assessment. Students may elect not to complete all of the Topic Quizzes but will be disadvantaged if they complete less than 7. **The facility to disregard quiz scores is designed**

to allow for missed quizzes due to events such as lateness, illness, political or industrial action, religious or public holidays, PACE unit requirement, cancelled classes and other disruptions.

There will be no provision for repeats of the Topic Quizzes under any circumstances as only 7 quizzes need to be completed. In cases where acceptable unavoidable disruption to study is documented for more than 2 missed quizzes, students may submit a request for special consideration via:

https://www.ask.mq.edu.au

If you have documented unavoidable disruption to studies affecting **more than two tutorials** your overall result will be calculated by averaging your scores for the remaining quizzes up to a total of seven.

Topic Quizzes will be conducted in the first 5 minutes of the session. Students arriving late may elect to attempt the quiz in the remaining time but no additional time will be allocated. Students may not complete the Topic Quiz and then leave a session. Sessions must be attended in whole or the quiz result will be discarded.

Full instructions will be issued at the beginning of each tutorial and any breach of these instructions may result in the score being discarded. The use of references or notes is not allowed during the Topic Quizzes and they must be completed individually. Students may only write on the answer card. Any collaboration or other misconduct may be referred to the University for disciplinary action.

Feedback

The results of Topic Quizzes for students will be posted on the unit web site as soon as possible following the tutorial sessions for the week.

Answers to Topic Quizzes will be provided by the tutor in the week following the relevant quiz. You may also contact your tutor to review your quiz at any point during the semester.

Performance Descriptors

The following performance descriptors will be used in the assignment of grades for the quizzes based on your 7 best results.

High Distinction: There is demonstration of complete understanding of key concepts covered in the unit and knowledge of key content considered in the readings.

Distinction: There is demonstration of near complete understanding of key principles covered in the unit and knowledge of key content considered in the readings. Only a very limited number of errors would be evident.

Credit: There is demonstration of extensive understanding of key principles covered in the unit and knowledge of key content considered in the readings. Only a limited number of errors would be evident.

Pass: There is demonstration of understanding of a majority of key principles covered in the unit and knowledge of a majority of key content considered in the readings.

Fail: There is demonstration of understanding of a minority of key principles covered in the unit and/or knowledge of a minority of key content considered in the readings.

Quiz Grading

Raw scores for the best 7 quizzes completed will be totaled and moderated scores and corresponding grades will be allocated as indicated in the following table. For example, a raw score on your best 7 results of 29/35 will receive a moderated score of 65 and the corresponding grade of Credit.

Raw Score / 35

Moderated Score

G	ra	d	е

35

100

HD

34

84

D

33

80

D

32

75

D

31

71

CR

30

68

CR

29

65

CR

28

P

Р

Р

Р

Р

Р

P

Р

Ρ

Ρ

Р

Р

F

F

F

F

F

F

F

F

F

7			
21			
F			
6			
18			
F			
5			
15			
F			
4			
12			
F			
3			
9			
F			
2			
6			
F			
1			
3			
F			
0			
0			
F			

Unit guide SPED102 Why People Believe Weird Things: Making Rational Decisions in an Irrational World

On successful completion you will be able to:

- Students will describe and critically evaluate the basic features and limitations of a scientific approach to evaluating evidence and claims.
- Students will identify typical indicators of pseudo-science in evaluating evidence and claims.
- Students will critically evaluate at a basic level a range of claims and evidence from a

range of academic and non-academic sources.

• Students will explain common factors that contribute to errors in human judgement when evaluating claims.

Assignment

Due: 9/9/16 at 11:59 pm

Weighting: 35%

The assignment will be provided on the iLearn site at the beginning of Week 4. Details of the assignment and the form on which the assignment MUST be submitted will be provided in the Assessment section of the web site.

The assignment will consist of two parts. In the first part you will be required to apply concepts covered in the unit to analyse a provided scenario and/or webpages, including analysis of possible red flags/signs of pseudoscience and/or features of a scientific approach. The second part will involve a conceptual question related to content considered in the first four weeks of the unit.

You need to cite sources used in your paper and provide a reference list for any references cited. You must use strict APA style for both citations and the reference list. A link to a style guide will be provided in the Assessment section of the web site.

How Do I Submit My Assignment?

A link for assignment submission will be provided in the Assessment section of the web site. All assignments must be submitted via this link where they will be subject to a plagiarism check.

What is the Word Limit?

Each question of the assignment has a word limit and the total maximum words for the assignment is 1,200. This includes in-text citations but excludes your reference list. The word limit for each section will be indicated. Markers will not read beyond the stated limit.

Do I have to Complete the Assignment on the Provided Template?

Yes, you must complete the assignment in the provided template and you must complete all of the required details, including word counts where requested. There will be a 5% penalty where the template is not used or not fully completed, including word counts.

Can I Submit a Late Assignment?

Assignments received after the due date will be accepted provided they are received no later than 10 calendar days late. Note that this is a period of grace, not a new deadline, and extension requests for events after the original due date will not be considered. No assignment will be accepted after 10 days, except when an extension has been requested before the due date and granted.

After the due date (unless an extension has been granted) a late penalty will be applied, leading to a reduction in the awarded mark. The late penalty is 5% of the total mark for each **calendar day** the assignment is outstanding beyond the due date. These penalties are

imposed in fairness to students who submit assignments on time.

When is the Deadline?

The deadline is 11:59 pm on the due date. Note that this means you must submit **BEFORE** 11:59 pm. Note that it takes time to upload your assignment to Turnitin. This is normally quite quick but will depend on the speed of your internet connection at the time. The submission time is the time that assignment is recorded as received by Turnitin as we can not verify the time you started uploading. You need to allow time for the upload. **Do not leave submission of your assignment to the last hours (or minutes).**

What if My Assignment is Only 11 Seconds Late?

Late is late. Any submission after the deadline will be considered late. **Do not leave** submission of your assignment to the last hours (or minutes).

What if My Hard Disk Crashed, My Pet Hippopotamus Ate My Computer, etc?

Computer problems will not be accepted as reasons for extensions. You are responsible for making sure your work is adequately backed up. Make sure your work is regularly backed up on a USB drive or to a cloud-based backup and **don't leave your submission to the last minute.** Most importantly, always keep your hippopotamus and computer in separate rooms.

What if I Accidentally Submit a Blank Assignment, the Wrong Document, etc?

We can only mark what you submit. Make sure you re-download your assignment from the location that it was submitted and verify the correct document has been submitted. No consideration will be offered if you submit the incorrect document. You may submit your assignment as many times as you wish before the due date and only the last submitted version will be marked.

Can I Get an Extension?

Extensions may be granted In extenuating circumstances where documented unavoidable disruption to study occurs (see the University Disruption to Studies Policy in the Policies and Procedures section of this guide). Reasons for the extension need to be documented through the special consideration form accessible through http://ask.mq.edu.au and supported (e.g., a Professional Authority Form in the case of illness). Please note that medical certificates are NOT accepted as evidence of illness - a Professional Authority Form must be provided.

Extension requests should be submitted before the due date. Post-due date applications for extensions will not be considered under any circumstances except when the student can provide documentary evidence that it was impossible to complete a special consideration form before the due date.

Note that:

 Extensions will only be considered on receipt of the completed form submitted through http://ask.mq.edu.au, plus relevant documentation. Extension requests via any other means (e.g., email) will NOT be accepted. It is essential that you plan ahead and organise your study time effectively. Poor time management is not grounds for an extension

How do I Know My Assignment Submission was Successful?

Given the size of the unit, staff will NOT respond to requests to confirm that assignments have been correctly submitted. You will receive an emailed receipt on successful submission of your assignment in your student email account. Make sure that this has been received and retain this receipt. No claims will be considered regarding missing assignments without this receipt. You can also re-download your assignment to double-check it was submitted (see above). Always keep a copy of your assignment.

How will I get feedback on my assignment?

Your marked assessment task, along with feedback information, will be returned electronically. Comments will be offered on your assignment and the marking rubric and grade will be returned via email to your student email account.

Can I Resubmit an Assignment?

Students are required to gain an overall pass on the unit, but do not have to pass all assessment components. If you perform poorly on one component, you may compensate for this with an improved performance in the other components. Resubmission of assessments is not permitted.

Where is the Marking Rubric?

A copy of the marking rubric will be provided on the web site when the assignment is released.

On successful completion you will be able to:

- Students will identify typical indicators of pseudo-science in evaluating evidence and claims.
- Students will critically evaluate at a basic level a range of claims and evidence from a range of academic and non-academic sources.
- Students will explain common factors that contribute to errors in human judgement when evaluating claims.

Final Examination

Due: Examination period

Weighting: 40%

A two-hour examination will be held during the university examination period. It will be comprised typically of questions that require short answers. For the final examination all lecture, tutorial material and readings are examinable.

A sample examination paper will be provided at the end of the unit.

Please note that exams are scheduled Monday to Saturday. University rules specify that students must ensure that they are available for the full duration of the final examination period.

Performance Descriptors (Rubric)

The following performance descriptors will be used in evaluating examinations.

High Distinction: Responses demonstrate a *complete* understanding of all key principles, witnessed by descriptions, justifications, analysis and effective integration of relevant information. There is no evidence of consequential gaps in knowledge and/or understanding of concepts and no consequential misconceptions.

Distinction: Responses demonstrate an *extensive* understanding of all key principles, witnessed by descriptions, justifications, analysis and effective integration of relevant information. There is no evidence of consequential gaps in knowledge and/or understanding of concepts although very minor misconceptions may be evident.

Credit: Responses demonstrate a *strong* understanding of the vast majority of key principles, witnessed by descriptions, justifications, analysis and effective integration of relevant information. Consequential gaps in knowledge and/or understanding are limited to a small minority of concepts.

Pass: Responses demonstrate a *sound* understanding of the majority of key principles, witnessed by descriptions, justifications, analysis and effective integration of relevant information. Consequential gaps in knowledge and/or understanding are only evident in a minority of concepts.

Fail: Responses demonstrate a *limited* understanding of the majority of key principles, witnessed by descriptions, justifications, analysis and effective integration of relevant information.

Consequential gaps in knowledge and/or understanding are evident for a majority of concepts.

On successful completion you will be able to:

- Students will describe and critically evaluate the basic features and limitations of a scientific approach to evaluating evidence and claims.
- Students will identify typical indicators of pseudo-science in evaluating evidence and claims.
- Students will critically evaluate at a basic level a range of claims and evidence from a range of academic and non-academic sources.
- Students will explain common factors that contribute to errors in human judgement when evaluating claims.

Delivery and Resources

Overview

The unit is organised in an on-campus format. Components are detailed below:

Readings

Readings are designed to prepare students for the tutorials as well as broaden their understanding of topics. Readings should be completed prior to the tutorials for each topic.

There is no required text for this unit.

Compulsory readings may be downloaded from the eReserve section of the Library web site at:

http://www.library.mq.edu.au/reserve/

Search for SPED102 in the Unit Readings Section.

Details of readings for each week are provided in the Unit Schedule section.

Lectures

Typically, lectures present information essential to the unit and provide the basis for subsequent tutorial activities. Students may attend the live lecture or view the lecture via Echo. A link to Echo will be provided in the iLearn web site. Lectures should be viewed before tutorials.

Tutorials

Tutorials provide the opportunity to explore issues in depth through discussion and activities. Tutorials will start punctually. Topic Quizzes, changes and important administrative issues are usually dealt with early in the tutorial. This information will not be repeated for students who arrive late. It is the individual student's responsibility to obtain any information that was missed due to lateness or absence.

Assessments

Assessment activities within the unit enable students to demonstrate their engagement with and mastery of unit content.

Unit Web Site

The unit web site is used for delivery of resource materials, support and for assessment tasks. Required study materials are available on the web site. You should check the web site at least once every 24 hours. The web site for the unit may be accessed at:

https://ilearn.mq.edu.au/

Information about using iLearn is available at

http://www.mq.edu.au/iLearn/student_info/

Unit Schedule

1	1/8	Introduction	What Do You Believe?		 Hoggart, S., & Hutchinson, M. (1995). Bizarre beliefs. Cohen Books: London, UK. Chapter 1, Introduction., pp. 9 – 17. SPED102 Unit Guide
2	8/8	Cognitive Biases 1	The Fallacy of Personal Validation	Yes	French, C. C., & Wilson, K. (2007). Cognitive factors underlying paranormal beliefs and experiences. In S. Della Sala (ed.). <i>Tall tales about the mind and brain: Separating fact from fiction</i> . Oxford University Press: Oxford. pp. 3-22.
3	15/8	Cognitive Biases 2	Thanks for the Memories!	Yes	Loftus, E. F. (2005). Planting misinformation in the human mind: A 30-year investigation of the malleability of memory. <i>Learning and Memory</i> , 12, 361-366.
4	22/8	Science vs Pseudoscience	Astrology – Science or Pseudoscience?	Yes	Hines, T. (2003). <i>Pseudoscience and the paranormal</i> . Prometheus Books: Amherst, NY. The nature of pseudoscience, Chapter 1, pp.13-41.
5	29/8	Pathological Science	Lets Make an Ology!	Yes	Huizenga, J. R. (1993). <i>Cold fusion : The scientific fiasco of the century.</i> Oxford University Press: Oxford. Chapter 12, Pathological science, pp. 201 – 214.
6	5/9	Research methods – Good vs Bad Science	Research Methods	Yes	Jackson, S. L. (2006) Research methods and statistics. Thomson Wadsworth. Chapter 1, pp. 11-25.
7	12/9	Use and Misuse of Statistics	Preparation of Bad Science Proposals	Yes	Goldacre, B. (2008). <i>Bad science</i> . McClelland & Stewart: London. Chapter 14, Bad stats, pp. 256-277. NOTE: Only available from 1st September 2016
8	3/10	No Lecture (Public Holiday)	No Tutorial		No reading
9	10/10	Education Related Pseudoscience	Presentation of Bad Science Proposals	Yes	Carter, M. & Wheldall, K. (2008). Why can't a teacher be more like a scientist? Science, pseudoscience and the art of teaching. <i>Australasian Journal of Special Education</i> , 32, 5-21.
10	17/10	Health Related Pseudoscience	Alternative Medicines	Yes	Hall, H. (2013). Down the garden path: Faulty thinking and self-delusion. Skeptical Inquirer, 37 (4), 32-35.
11	24/10	Paranormal – The Truth is Out There	Psychics Exposed – Cold Reading Workshop	Yes	1. Hyman, R. (2003). How not to test mediums: critiquing the Afterlife Experiments, <i>Skeptical Inquirer</i> , 27 (1), 20-30.
					2. Nickell, J. (2001) John Edward: Hustling the bereaved: <i>Skeptical Inquirer</i> , 25(6), 19-23.

12	31/10	Putting it all together – the take home message	Revision	No reading
13	7/11	No Lecture	No Tutorial	No reading

Policies and Procedures

Macquarie University policies and procedures are accessible from <u>Policy Central</u>. Students should be aware of the following policies in particular with regard to Learning and Teaching:

Academic Honesty Policy http://mq.edu.au/policy/docs/academic_honesty/policy.html

New Assessment Policy in effect from Session 2 2016 http://mq.edu.au/policy/docs/assessment/policy_2016.html. For more information visit http://students.mq.edu.au/events/2016/07/19/new_assessment_policy_in_place_from_session_2/

Assessment Policy prior to Session 2 2016 http://mq.edu.au/policy/docs/assessment/policy.html

Grading Policy prior to Session 2 2016 http://mq.edu.au/policy/docs/grading/policy.html

Grade Appeal Policy http://mq.edu.au/policy/docs/gradeappeal/policy.html

Complaint Management Procedure for Students and Members of the Public http://www.mq.edu.a u/policy/docs/complaint management/procedure.html

Disruption to Studies Policy http://www.mq.edu.au/policy/docs/disruption_studies/policy.html The Disruption to Studies Policy is effective from March 3 2014 and replaces the Special Consideration Policy.

In addition, a number of other policies can be found in the <u>Learning and Teaching Category</u> of Policy Central.

Student Code of Conduct

Macquarie University students have a responsibility to be familiar with the Student Code of Conduct: https://students.mq.edu.au/support/student_conduct/

Results

Results shown in *iLearn*, or released directly by your Unit Convenor, are not confirmed as they are subject to final approval by the University. Once approved, final results will be sent to your student email address and will be made available in <a href="extraction-color: blue} eStudent. For more information visit <a href="extraction-color: blue} ask.m <a href="equation-color: blue} e.c..

Student Support

Macquarie University provides a range of support services for students. For details, visit http://students.mq.edu.au/support/

Learning Skills

Learning Skills (mq.edu.au/learningskills) provides academic writing resources and study strategies to improve your marks and take control of your study.

- Workshops
- StudyWise
- Academic Integrity Module for Students
- Ask a Learning Adviser

Student Services and Support

Students with a disability are encouraged to contact the <u>Disability Service</u> who can provide appropriate help with any issues that arise during their studies.

Student Enquiries

For all student enquiries, visit Student Connect at ask.mq.edu.au

IT Help

For help with University computer systems and technology, visit http://www.mq.edu.au/about_us/ offices_and_units/information_technology/help/.

When using the University's IT, you must adhere to the <u>Acceptable Use of IT Resources Policy</u>. The policy applies to all who connect to the MQ network including students.

Graduate Capabilities

Creative and Innovative

Our graduates will also be capable of creative thinking and of creating knowledge. They will be imaginative and open to experience and capable of innovation at work and in the community. We want them to be engaged in applying their critical, creative thinking.

This graduate capability is supported by:

Learning outcome

 Students will critically evaluate at a basic level a range of claims and evidence from a range of academic and non-academic sources.

Assessment tasks

- Participation
- Topic Quizzes
- Assignment
- Final Examination

Capable of Professional and Personal Judgement and Initiative

We want our graduates to have emotional intelligence and sound interpersonal skills and to demonstrate discernment and common sense in their professional and personal judgement. They will exercise initiative as needed. They will be capable of risk assessment, and be able to handle ambiguity and complexity, enabling them to be adaptable in diverse and changing environments.

This graduate capability is supported by:

Learning outcomes

- Students will describe and critically evaluate the basic features and limitations of a scientific approach to evaluating evidence and claims.
- Students will identify typical indicators of pseudo-science in evaluating evidence and claims.
- Students will critically evaluate at a basic level a range of claims and evidence from a range of academic and non-academic sources.

Assessment tasks

- · Topic Quizzes
- Assignment
- Final Examination

Commitment to Continuous Learning

Our graduates will have enquiring minds and a literate curiosity which will lead them to pursue knowledge for its own sake. They will continue to pursue learning in their careers and as they participate in the world. They will be capable of reflecting on their experiences and relationships with others and the environment, learning from them, and growing - personally, professionally and socially.

This graduate capability is supported by:

Learning outcome

 Students will explain common factors that contribute to errors in human judgement when evaluating claims.

Assessment tasks

- · Topic Quizzes
- Assignment
- Final Examination

Discipline Specific Knowledge and Skills

Our graduates will take with them the intellectual development, depth and breadth of knowledge, scholarly understanding, and specific subject content in their chosen fields to make them competent and confident in their subject or profession. They will be able to demonstrate, where relevant, professional technical competence and meet professional standards. They will be able to articulate the structure of knowledge of their discipline, be able to adapt discipline-specific knowledge to novel situations, and be able to contribute from their discipline to inter-disciplinary solutions to problems.

This graduate capability is supported by:

Learning outcomes

- Students will describe and critically evaluate the basic features and limitations of a scientific approach to evaluating evidence and claims.
- Students will identify typical indicators of pseudo-science in evaluating evidence and claims.
- Students will critically evaluate at a basic level a range of claims and evidence from a range of academic and non-academic sources.

Assessment tasks

- Topic Quizzes
- Assignment
- Final Examination

Critical, Analytical and Integrative Thinking

We want our graduates to be capable of reasoning, questioning and analysing, and to integrate and synthesise learning and knowledge from a range of sources and environments; to be able to critique constraints, assumptions and limitations; to be able to think independently and systemically in relation to scholarly activity, in the workplace, and in the world. We want them to have a level of scientific and information technology literacy.

This graduate capability is supported by:

Learning outcomes

- Students will describe and critically evaluate the basic features and limitations of a scientific approach to evaluating evidence and claims.
- Students will identify typical indicators of pseudo-science in evaluating evidence and claims.
- Students will critically evaluate at a basic level a range of claims and evidence from a range of academic and non-academic sources.

Assessment tasks

- Participation
- Topic Quizzes
- Assignment
- Final Examination

Problem Solving and Research Capability

Our graduates should be capable of researching; of analysing, and interpreting and assessing data and information in various forms; of drawing connections across fields of knowledge; and they should be able to relate their knowledge to complex situations at work or in the world, in order to diagnose and solve problems. We want them to have the confidence to take the initiative in doing so, within an awareness of their own limitations.

This graduate capability is supported by:

Learning outcomes

- Students will describe and critically evaluate the basic features and limitations of a scientific approach to evaluating evidence and claims.
- Students will identify typical indicators of pseudo-science in evaluating evidence and claims.
- Students will critically evaluate at a basic level a range of claims and evidence from a range of academic and non-academic sources.
- Students will explain common factors that contribute to errors in human judgement when evaluating claims.

Assessment tasks

- Participation
- Topic Quizzes
- Assignment
- Final Examination

Effective Communication

We want to develop in our students the ability to communicate and convey their views in forms effective with different audiences. We want our graduates to take with them the capability to read, listen, question, gather and evaluate information resources in a variety of formats, assess, write clearly, speak effectively, and to use visual communication and communication technologies as appropriate.

This graduate capability is supported by:

Learning outcomes

- Students will identify typical indicators of pseudo-science in evaluating evidence and claims.
- Students will critically evaluate at a basic level a range of claims and evidence from a range of academic and non-academic sources.

Assessment tasks

- Participation
- Topic Quizzes
- Assignment
- Final Examination

Engaged and Ethical Local and Global citizens

As local citizens our graduates will be aware of indigenous perspectives and of the nation's historical context. They will be engaged with the challenges of contemporary society and with knowledge and ideas. We want our graduates to have respect for diversity, to be open-minded, sensitive to others and inclusive, and to be open to other cultures and perspectives: they should have a level of cultural literacy. Our graduates should be aware of disadvantage and social justice, and be willing to participate to help create a wiser and better society.

This graduate capability is supported by:

Learning outcomes

- Students will describe and critically evaluate the basic features and limitations of a scientific approach to evaluating evidence and claims.
- Students will identify typical indicators of pseudo-science in evaluating evidence and claims.
- Students will critically evaluate at a basic level a range of claims and evidence from a range of academic and non-academic sources.
- Students will explain common factors that contribute to errors in human judgement when evaluating claims.

Assessment tasks

- Participation
- Topic Quizzes
- Assignment
- Final Examination

Socially and Environmentally Active and Responsible

We want our graduates to be aware of and have respect for self and others; to be able to work with others as a leader and a team player; to have a sense of connectedness with others and country; and to have a sense of mutual obligation. Our graduates should be informed and active participants in moving society towards sustainability.

This graduate capability is supported by:

Learning outcomes

- Students will describe and critically evaluate the basic features and limitations of a scientific approach to evaluating evidence and claims.
- Students will identify typical indicators of pseudo-science in evaluating evidence and claims.
- Students will critically evaluate at a basic level a range of claims and evidence from a range of academic and non-academic sources.
- Students will explain common factors that contribute to errors in human judgement when evaluating claims.

Assessment tasks

- Participation
- Topic Quizzes
- Assignment
- Final Examination

Changes from Previous Offering

Changes have been made to content of both the lectures and tutorial content following student feedback.

Assessments and weightings have been adjusted.

Attendance requirements have been removed consistent with changes in the University assessment policy.

Where Do I Start?

Tick off the following steps as they are completed:

- Carefully read this Unit Guide.
- · Carefully read this unit guide for a second time.
- Log onto the unit web site to check whether there are any announcements and download the Study Guide for week 1.

- Download the required reading from the library and read it.
- Attend the lecture and tutorial in the first week.