



MECO838

The Art of Recording

S2 Day 2017

Department of Media, Music, Communication and Cultural Studies

Contents

<u>General Information</u>	2
<u>Learning Outcomes</u>	2
<u>General Assessment Information</u>	2
<u>Assessment Tasks</u>	3
<u>Delivery and Resources</u>	4
<u>Unit Schedule</u>	6
<u>Policies and Procedures</u>	6
<u>Graduate Capabilities</u>	8

Disclaimer

Macquarie University has taken all reasonable measures to ensure the information in this publication is accurate and up-to-date. However, the information may change or become out-dated as a result of change in University policies, procedures or rules. The University reserves the right to make changes to any information in this publication without notice. Users of this publication are advised to check the website version of this publication [or the relevant faculty or department] before acting on any information in this publication.

General Information

Unit convenor and teaching staff

Unit Convenor

Denis Crowdy

denis.crowdy@mq.edu.au

Contact via denis.crowdy@mq.edu.au

Credit points

4

Prerequisites

Admission to MCrMedia or MCrInd or MFJ or MCreIndMFJ

Corequisites

Co-badged status

Unit description

This unit examines recorded sound and its relation to society. Areas of investigation include changes in technology and cultural context, expectations of fidelity, remote and field recording issues and practice, production values, media and distribution, recording ethics and relevant legal issues.

Important Academic Dates

Information about important academic dates including deadlines for withdrawing from units are available at <https://www.mq.edu.au/study/calendar-of-dates>

Learning Outcomes

On successful completion of this unit, you will be able to:

- Critically evaluate the history of recorded sound and technology
- Examine scholarship on recording and its relationship to society
- Investigate changes in technology use in the field of sound recording
- Acquire essential production skills to accompany theoretical exploration
- Develop recording strategies to explore relationships between sound and society

General Assessment Information

Late Submissions

Tasks 10% or less. No extensions will be granted. Students who have not submitted the task prior to the deadline will be awarded a mark of 0 for the task, except for cases in which an

application for Disruption to Studies is made and approved.

Tasks above 10%. Students who submit late work without an extension will receive a penalty of 10% per day. This penalty does not apply for cases in which an application for Disruption to Studies is made and approved.

Assessment Tasks

Name	Weighting	Hurdle	Due
<u>Critical summaries and notes</u>	30%	No	Continuous from week 3
<u>Production analysis portfolio</u>	45%	No	From week 8
<u>Exegesis</u>	25%	No	Week 13

Critical summaries and notes

Due: **Continuous from week 3**

Weighting: **30%**

A series of readings are presented throughout the course, and students are to write critical summaries of a selection of these readings. These will be assessed starting in week 3 on an ongoing basis.

Submission via iLearn/Turnitin

Assessment criteria:

- Ability to summarise effectively
- Effectiveness of research and analysis skills
- Clarity and quality of writing and understanding of appropriate style

On successful completion you will be able to:

- Critically evaluate the history of recorded sound and technology
- Examine scholarship on recording and its relationship to society

Production analysis portfolio

Due: **From week 8**

Weighting: **45%**

In consultation with the convenor/tutor, students will research three selected areas of recorded music production, and explore these through guided recordings. Each component is to be presented to the class from week 8, guided by notes from the accompanying exegesis assessment. The final portfolio is to be handed in at the end of week 13.

Submission via iLearn and ISIS file server (for large audio projects)

Assessment criteria:

- Depth of conceptual and practical engagement with production analysis
- Adherence to technical and audio quality requirements

On successful completion you will be able to:

- Examine scholarship on recording and its relationship to society
- Investigate changes in technology use in the field of sound recording
- Acquire essential production skills to accompany theoretical exploration
- Develop recording strategies to explore relationships between sound and society

Exegesis

Due: **Week 13**

Weighting: **25%**

Students are to describe and analyse the selection of and processes involved in recordings created for the production analysis portfolio. This will be used to present each component of the production analysis portfolio starting in week 8.

Submission via iLearn/Turnitin

Assessment criteria:

- Communication of production process and problems encountered
- Clarity and quality of writing and appropriateness of style
- Evidence of engagement with core concepts

On successful completion you will be able to:

- Examine scholarship on recording and its relationship to society
- Investigate changes in technology use in the field of sound recording
- Develop recording strategies to explore relationships between sound and society

Delivery and Resources

Recommended Readings (digital access via MultiSearch)

Azenha, Gustavo S. "The Internet and the Decentralisation of the Popular Music Industry: Critical

Reflections on Technology, Concentration and Diversification.” *Radical Musicology* 1 (2006).

Baade, Christina, and Paul Aitken. “Still ‘In the Mood’: The Nostalgia Aesthetic in a Digital World¹.” *Journal of Popular Music Studies* 20, no. 4 (2008): 353–77. doi:10.1111/j.1533-1598.2008.00169.x.

Barbour, E. “The Cool Sound of Tubes [Vacuum Tube Musical Applications].” *Spectrum, IEEE* 35, no. 8 (1998): 24–35. doi:10.1109/6.708439.

Berger, Harris M., and Cornelia Fales. “‘Heaviness’ in the Perception of Heavy Metal Guitar Timbres: The Match of Perceptual and Acoustic Features over Time.” In *Wired for Sound: Engineering and Technologies in Sonic Cultures*, edited by Paul D. Greene and Thomas Porcello, 181–97. Middletown, Connecticut: Wesleyan University Press, 2005.

Bruns, Axel. “From Prosumer to Producer: Understanding User-Led Content Creation.” In *Transforming Audiences 2009*. London: ARC Centre of Excellence for Creative Industries and Innovation; Creative Industries Faculty; Institute for Creative Industries and Innovation; Journalism, Media & Communication, 2009. <http://eprints.qut.edu.au/27370/>.

Cole, Steven James. “The Prosumer and the Project Studio: The Battle for Distinction in the Field of Music Recording.” *Sociology* 45, no. 3 (June 1, 2011): 447–63. doi:10.1177/0038038511399627.

Crowdy, Denis. “Chasing an Aesthetic Tail: Latent Technological Imperialism in Mainstream Production.” In *Redefining Mainstream Popular Music*, edited by Sarah Baker, Andy Bennett, and Jodie Taylor, 150–61. Routledge, 2013.

———. “Studios at Home in the Solomon Islands: A Case Study of Homesound Studios, Honiara.” *The World of Music v49n1* 49, no. 1 (2007): 143–54.

Fales, Cornelia. “The Paradox of Timbre.” *Ethnomusicology* 46, no. 1 (January 1, 2002): 56–95. doi:10.2307/852808.

Frith, Simon. “Art versus Technology: The Strange Case of Popular Music.” *Media, Culture & Society* 8, no. 3 (July 1, 1986): 263–79. doi:10.1177/016344386008003002.

Glasgow, Joshua. “Hi-Fi Aesthetics.” *The Journal of Aesthetics and Art Criticism* 65, no. 2 (April 1, 2007): 163–74.

Grinnell, C. K. “From Consumer to Prosumer to Producer: Who Keeps Shifting My Paradigm? (We Do!).” *Public Culture* 21, no. 3 (October 7, 2009): 577–98. doi:10.1215/08992363-2009-009.

Hamm, Russell O. “Tubes Versus Transistors - Is There an Audible Difference?” *Journal of the Audio Engineering Society* 21, no. 4 (1973): 267–73.

Horning, Susan Schmidt. “Engineering the Performance: Recording Engineers, Tacit Knowledge and the Art of Controlling Sound.” *Social Studies of Science* 34, no. 5 (October 1, 2004): 703–31.

Jones, Steve. “Technology and the Future of Popular Music.” *Popular Music and Society* 14, no. 1 (1990): 19. doi:10.1080/03007769008591380.

Leyshon, A. “The Software Slump?: Digital Music, the Democratisation of Technology, and the Decline of the Recording Studio Sector within the Musical Economy.” *Environment and Planning*

A 41, no. 6 (2009): 1309–1331.

Lockheart, Paula. “A History of Early Microphone Singing, 1925–1939: American Mainstream Popular Singing at the Advent of Electronic Microphone Amplification.” *Popular Music and Society* 26, no. 3 (2003): 367–85. doi:10.1080/0300776032000117003.

O’Connell, Joseph. “The Fine-Tuning of a Golden Ear: High-End Audio and the Evolutionary Model of Technology.” *Technology and Culture* 33, no. 1 (January 1, 1992): 1–37. doi:10.2307/3105807.

Perlman, Marc. “Golden Ears and Meter Readers: The Contest for Epistemic Authority in Audiophilia.” *Social Studies of Science* 34, no. 5 (October 1, 2004): 783–807.

Porcello, Thomas. “Speaking of Sound: Language and the Professionalization of Sound-Recording Engineers.” *Social Studies of Science* 34, no. 5 (October 1, 2004): 733–58.

———. “‘Tails Out’: Social Phenomenology and the Ethnographic Representation of Technology in Music-Making.” *Ethnomusicology* 42, no. 3 (October 1, 1998): 485–510. doi:10.2307/852851.

Raichel, Daniel R. “Recreational Noise Exposure - An Occupational Hazard for Audio Engineers.” *Journal of the Audio Engineering Society* 28, no. 12 (1980): 896–99.

Rothenbuhler, Eric W. “For-the-Record Aesthetics and Robert Johnson’s Blues Style as a Product of Recorded Culture.” *Popular Music* 26, no. 1 (2006): 65–81. doi:10.1017/S0261143007001134.

Thompson, Emily. “Machines, Music, and the Quest for Fidelity: Marketing the Edison Phonograph in America, 1877-1925.” *The Musical Quarterly* 79, no. 1 (April 1, 1995): 131–71.

Unit Schedule

See iLearn

Policies and Procedures

Macquarie University policies and procedures are accessible from [Policy Central](#). Students should be aware of the following policies in particular with regard to Learning and Teaching:

Academic Honesty Policy http://mq.edu.au/policy/docs/academic_honesty/policy.html

Assessment Policy http://mq.edu.au/policy/docs/assessment/policy_2016.html

Grade Appeal Policy <http://mq.edu.au/policy/docs/gradeappeal/policy.html>

Complaint Management Procedure for Students and Members of the Public http://www.mq.edu.au/policy/docs/complaint_management/procedure.html

Disruption to Studies Policy (in effect until Dec 4th, 2017): http://www.mq.edu.au/policy/docs/disruption_studies/policy.html

Special Consideration Policy (in effect from Dec 4th, 2017): <https://staff.mq.edu.au/work/strategy-planning-and-governance/university-policies-and-procedures/policies/special-consideration>

In addition, a number of other policies can be found in the [Learning and Teaching Category](#) of

Policy Central.

Student Code of Conduct

Macquarie University students have a responsibility to be familiar with the Student Code of Conduct: https://students.mq.edu.au/support/student_conduct/

Results

Results shown in *iLearn*, or released directly by your Unit Convenor, are not confirmed as they are subject to final approval by the University. Once approved, final results will be sent to your student email address and will be made available in [eStudent](#). For more information visit ask.mq.edu.au.

Additional information

MMCCS website https://www.mq.edu.au/about_us/faculties_and_departments/faculty_of_arts/departments/media_music_communication_and_cultural_studies/

MMCCS Session Re-mark Application <http://www.mq.edu.au/pubstatic/public/download/?id=167914>

Information is correct at the time of publication

Student Support

Macquarie University provides a range of support services for students. For details, visit <http://students.mq.edu.au/support/>

Learning Skills

Learning Skills (mq.edu.au/learningskills) provides academic writing resources and study strategies to improve your marks and take control of your study.

- [Workshops](#)
- [StudyWise](#)
- [Academic Integrity Module for Students](#)
- [Ask a Learning Adviser](#)

Student Services and Support

Students with a disability are encouraged to contact the [Disability Service](#) who can provide appropriate help with any issues that arise during their studies.

Student Enquiries

For all student enquiries, visit Student Connect at ask.mq.edu.au

IT Help

For help with University computer systems and technology, visit http://www.mq.edu.au/about_us/offices_and_units/information_technology/help/.

When using the University's IT, you must adhere to the [Acceptable Use of IT Resources Policy](#). The policy applies to all who connect to the MQ network including students.

Graduate Capabilities

PG - Capable of Professional and Personal Judgment and Initiative

Our postgraduates will demonstrate a high standard of discernment and common sense in their professional and personal judgment. They will have the ability to make informed choices and decisions that reflect both the nature of their professional work and their personal perspectives.

This graduate capability is supported by:

Learning outcome

- Acquire essential production skills to accompany theoretical exploration

Assessment tasks

- Critical summaries and notes
- Production analysis portfolio

PG - Discipline Knowledge and Skills

Our postgraduates will be able to demonstrate a significantly enhanced depth and breadth of knowledge, scholarly understanding, and specific subject content knowledge in their chosen fields.

This graduate capability is supported by:

Learning outcomes

- Critically evaluate the history of recorded sound and technology
- Investigate changes in technology use in the field of sound recording
- Acquire essential production skills to accompany theoretical exploration
- Develop recording strategies to explore relationships between sound and society

Assessment tasks

- Critical summaries and notes
- Production analysis portfolio
- Exegesis

PG - Critical, Analytical and Integrative Thinking

Our postgraduates will be capable of utilising and reflecting on prior knowledge and experience, of applying higher level critical thinking skills, and of integrating and synthesising learning and knowledge from a range of sources and environments. A characteristic of this form of thinking is the generation of new, professionally oriented knowledge through personal or group-based

critique of practice and theory.

This graduate capability is supported by:

Learning outcomes

- Examine scholarship on recording and its relationship to society
- Investigate changes in technology use in the field of sound recording
- Develop recording strategies to explore relationships between sound and society

Assessment tasks

- Production analysis portfolio
- Exegesis

PG - Research and Problem Solving Capability

Our postgraduates will be capable of systematic enquiry; able to use research skills to create new knowledge that can be applied to real world issues, or contribute to a field of study or practice to enhance society. They will be capable of creative questioning, problem finding and problem solving.

This graduate capability is supported by:

Learning outcomes

- Critically evaluate the history of recorded sound and technology
- Examine scholarship on recording and its relationship to society
- Develop recording strategies to explore relationships between sound and society

Assessment tasks

- Production analysis portfolio
- Exegesis

PG - Effective Communication

Our postgraduates will be able to communicate effectively and convey their views to different social, cultural, and professional audiences. They will be able to use a variety of technologically supported media to communicate with empathy using a range of written, spoken or visual formats.

This graduate capability is supported by:

Learning outcomes

- Examine scholarship on recording and its relationship to society
- Acquire essential production skills to accompany theoretical exploration

Assessment tasks

- Critical summaries and notes
- Production analysis portfolio
- Exegesis