

PHIL899

Master of Research - Philosophy

S1 Day 2018

Dept of Philosophy

Contents

General Information	2
Learning Outcomes	2
General Assessment Information	3
Assessment Tasks	3
Delivery and Resources	6
Unit Schedule	6
Policies and Procedures	7
Graduate Capabilities	8

Disclaimer

Macquarie University has taken all reasonable measures to ensure the information in this publication is accurate and up-to-date. However, the information may change or become out-dated as a result of change in University policies, procedures or rules. The University reserves the right to make changes to any information in this publication without notice. Users of this publication are advised to check the website version of this publication [or the relevant faculty or department] before acting on any information in this publication.

General Information

Unit convenor and teaching staff

Admininstration

Jeanette Kennett

jeanette.kennett@mq.edu.au

Lecturer

Karola Stotz

karola.stotz@mq.edu.au

Credit points

32

Prerequisites

Corequisites

Co-badged status

Unit description

Students in year two of the Master of Research program in Philosophy enroll in this unit. Seminars and other activities are arranged to enable students to pass milestones on the way to successful completion of a research thesis, including 1) clarification of research methodology; 2) the composition of a literature review; and 3) formulation of a thesis concept.

Important Academic Dates

Information about important academic dates including deadlines for withdrawing from units are available at https://www.mq.edu.au/study/calendar-of-dates

Learning Outcomes

On successful completion of this unit, you will be able to:

Demonstrate a strong understanding of how to define a specific academic discipline.

Demonstrate awareness of the historical development of the discipline in which the project is being undertaken.

Demonstrate a clear ability to communicate the relationship of the project to the discipline and sub-discipline in both written and oral form.

Demonstrate an ability to critically evaluate the leading scholarly approaches within in the sub-discipline.

General Assessment Information

Some of these assessments contribute towards your final grade for the MRES, others are milestones that must be completed satisfactorily to allow your further progression and final submission of the MRES thesis in October.

Assessments with 0% assigned to them are 'milestone' assessments used to monitor progress; students cannot graduate unless they have satisfactorily completed all of the milestone assessments.

Assessment deadlines and tasks may be updated throughout the year so keep checking online in the ilearn space to stay abreast of any updates.

Assessment Tasks

Name	Weighting	Hurdle	Due
Conference presentation	5%	No	At unit session
Written Report	5%	No	1 week after presentation
Draft Literature Review	0%	No	End of Week 11
Methods Report	0%	No	End of Week 13
Thesis submission	90%	No	Date advised
PhD Proposal Workshop	0%	No	After thesis submission

Conference presentation

Due: At unit session

Weighting: 5%

Students will give an oral presentation (value 5% of overall MRes grade) of 10 minutes during one of two sessions of the unit in which they will:

- · Briefly outline their MRes Research Project
- Identify and describe the broader field and/or discipline in which their project sits, noting the key research questions that guide researchers in the field.
- Describe how their own project is situated in relation to the discipline (and/or subdiscipline), relevant key thinkers and questions.
- Identify any relevant sub-disciplinary and/or cross-disciplinary initiatives and directions that have moved researchers into new areas of investigation/analysis.

On successful completion you will be able to:

- Demonstrate a strong understanding of how to define a specific academic discipline.
- Demonstrate awareness of the historical development of the discipline in which the project is being undertaken.
- Demonstrate a clear ability to communicate the relationship of the project to the discipline and sub-discipline in both written and oral form.
- Demonstrate an ability to critically evaluate the leading scholarly approaches within in the sub-discipline.

Written Report

Due: 1 week after presentation

Weighting: 5%

One week after the conference presentation students will submit a 3000 word report (value 5% of overall MRes grade) to their MRES director. This will consist of two parts. The first part will consist of the paper as presented at the unit session or the Faculty conference. The length of this part of the report will not exceed 2500 words. The second part of the report will be a 500 word reflection on the conference or unit session.

On successful completion you will be able to:

- Demonstrate a strong understanding of how to define a specific academic discipline.
- Demonstrate awareness of the historical development of the discipline in which the project is being undertaken.
- Demonstrate a clear ability to communicate the relationship of the project to the discipline and sub-discipline in both written and oral form.
- Demonstrate an ability to critically evaluate the leading scholarly approaches within in the sub-discipline.

Draft Literature Review

Due: End of Week 11

Weighting: 0%

As part of your thesis, you will survey the current literature relating to your individual research project. This assessment is designed to give you a framework within which to complete this component of your thesis, **A draft literature review or survey must be submitted to your supervisor for comment by the deadline**. The length of the literature review is dependent on the discipline/sub-discipline, and this draft will act as part of the final thesis, where it will be assessed.

On successful completion you will be able to:

Demonstrate a strong understanding of how to define a specific academic discipline.

- Demonstrate awareness of the historical development of the discipline in which the project is being undertaken.
- Demonstrate a clear ability to communicate the relationship of the project to the discipline and sub-discipline in both written and oral form.
- Demonstrate an ability to critically evaluate the leading scholarly approaches within in the sub-discipline.

Methods Report

Due: End of Week 13

Weighting: **0**%

The research methods program builds on the skill-based units undertaken in year one of the MRes – for year 2 direct entry candidates this is even more important as you may not have had this recent recap on methodology and project design. Remember the activities you undertake here must also be supported by regular contact with your supervisors – combined, this will equip the candidates with all the relevant skills to conduct their thesis research.

Assessment: Students are be expected to complete all three components of the Research Methods program (i.e.: Research Diary; Oral Report and Methods Report). The Methods report will be no more than 500 words and will be graded as satisfactory/unsatisfactory. The final methods written report is due approximately one week after the workshop session and **must be submitted to the MRes Year 2 Convenor**.

On successful completion you will be able to:

 Demonstrate an ability to critically evaluate the leading scholarly approaches within in the sub-discipline.

Thesis submission

Due: **Date advised** Weighting: **90%**

Candidates are expected to complete a significant individual research project of their own design in order to demonstrate individual research capability to conduct major (PhD) research project.

Candidates will prepare a thesis equivalent to 15,000-20,000 words, subject to disciplinary standards, equivalent to a research paper published in a high impact (first quartile) journal in the appropriate discipline.

The thesis will be due on the date advised by the HDRO.

On successful completion you will be able to:

 Demonstrate awareness of the historical development of the discipline in which the project is being undertaken.

- Demonstrate a clear ability to communicate the relationship of the project to the discipline and sub-discipline in both written and oral form.
- Demonstrate an ability to critically evaluate the leading scholarly approaches within in the sub-discipline.

PhD Proposal Workshop

Due: After thesis submission

Weighting: 0%

The PhD proposal will build on the MRes thesis (as a foundation for future research), but it should also offer a focus, topic and/or set of research questions distinct from the MRES thesis.

A workshop on planning the PhD will be offered after MRes thesis submission.

Students should explore possible topics and discuss their ideas with their supervisors as early as possible and work on draft documents closely with the supervisor throughout the year.

On successful completion you will be able to:

 Demonstrate an ability to critically evaluate the leading scholarly approaches within in the sub-discipline.

Delivery and Resources

Macquarie University provides a range of learning skills support and training to assist research candidates in developing essential skills in academic literacy, research methods and professional development. Most of these resources are free for current MQ MRes candidates; for those which attract a fee, interested candidates should consult their department about funding options, e.g. using research training funds. In addition to seeking advice from their supervisors, candidates should explore the options below.

For a listing of the current HDR courses and workshops, please go to hdrworkshops.mq.edu.au

Other HDR Training Opportunities

Please see the HDR Learning Support Page

Unit Schedule

Topic			
Methods A: Overview (Workshop)			
Writing a Literature Review (Workshop)		

Research Planning/Preparing a PhD Proposal (Workshop)
Philosophy as a Discipline (Workshop)
Department Pre-conference Presentation (as part of the HDR Work-in-progress Seminars)
Methods B: including Individual Oral Reports (Workshop)
Finalising a PhD Proposal (Workshop/Individual Feedback)

Policies and Procedures

Macquarie University policies and procedures are accessible from Policy Central (https://staff.m.q.edu.au/work/strategy-planning-and-governance/university-policies-and-procedures/policy-central). Students should be aware of the following policies in particular with regard to Learning and Teaching:

- Academic Appeals Policy
- Academic Integrity Policy
- Academic Progression Policy
- Assessment Policy
- Fitness to Practice Procedure
- Grade Appeal Policy
- Complaint Management Procedure for Students and Members of the Public
- Special Consideration Policy (Note: The Special Consideration Policy is effective from 4
 December 2017 and replaces the Disruption to Studies Policy.)

Undergraduate students seeking more policy resources can visit the <u>Student Policy Gateway</u> (<u>htt ps://students.mq.edu.au/support/study/student-policy-gateway</u>). It is your one-stop-shop for the key policies you need to know about throughout your undergraduate student journey.

If you would like to see all the policies relevant to Learning and Teaching visit <u>Policy Central</u> (<u>http</u> s://staff.mq.edu.au/work/strategy-planning-and-governance/university-policies-and-procedures/p olicy-central).

Student Code of Conduct

Macquarie University students have a responsibility to be familiar with the Student Code of Conduct: https://students.mq.edu.au/study/getting-started/student-conduct

Results

Results shown in *iLearn*, or released directly by your Unit Convenor, are not confirmed as they are subject to final approval by the University. Once approved, final results will be sent to your student email address and will be made available in eStudent. For more information visit ask.m q.edu.au.

Student Support

Macquarie University provides a range of support services for students. For details, visit http://students.mq.edu.au/support/

Learning Skills

Learning Skills (mq.edu.au/learningskills) provides academic writing resources and study strategies to improve your marks and take control of your study.

- Workshops
- StudyWise
- · Academic Integrity Module for Students
- Ask a Learning Adviser

Student Services and Support

Students with a disability are encouraged to contact the <u>Disability Service</u> who can provide appropriate help with any issues that arise during their studies.

Student Enquiries

For all student enquiries, visit Student Connect at ask.mq.edu.au

IT Help

For help with University computer systems and technology, visit http://www.mq.edu.au/about_us/ offices_and_units/information_technology/help/.

When using the University's IT, you must adhere to the <u>Acceptable Use of IT Resources Policy</u>. The policy applies to all who connect to the MQ network including students.

Graduate Capabilities

PG - Capable of Professional and Personal Judgment and Initiative

Our postgraduates will demonstrate a high standard of discernment and common sense in their professional and personal judgment. They will have the ability to make informed choices and decisions that reflect both the nature of their professional work and their personal perspectives.

This graduate capability is supported by:

Learning outcomes

- Demonstrate a strong understanding of how to define a specific academic discipline.
- Demonstrate awareness of the historical development of the discipline in which the project is being undertaken.
- Demonstrate a clear ability to communicate the relationship of the project to the

discipline and sub-discipline in both written and oral form.

 Demonstrate an ability to critically evaluate the leading scholarly approaches within in the sub-discipline.

Assessment tasks

- Conference presentation
- Written Report
- · Draft Literature Review
- · Methods Report
- · Thesis submission
- PhD Proposal Workshop

PG - Discipline Knowledge and Skills

Our postgraduates will be able to demonstrate a significantly enhanced depth and breadth of knowledge, scholarly understanding, and specific subject content knowledge in their chosen fields.

This graduate capability is supported by:

Learning outcomes

- Demonstrate a strong understanding of how to define a specific academic discipline.
- Demonstrate awareness of the historical development of the discipline in which the project is being undertaken.
- Demonstrate a clear ability to communicate the relationship of the project to the discipline and sub-discipline in both written and oral form.
- Demonstrate an ability to critically evaluate the leading scholarly approaches within in the sub-discipline.

Assessment tasks

- · Conference presentation
- Written Report
- · Draft Literature Review
- · Methods Report
- · Thesis submission
- PhD Proposal Workshop

PG - Critical, Analytical and Integrative Thinking

Our postgraduates will be capable of utilising and reflecting on prior knowledge and experience, of applying higher level critical thinking skills, and of integrating and synthesising learning and

knowledge from a range of sources and environments. A characteristic of this form of thinking is the generation of new, professionally oriented knowledge through personal or group-based critique of practice and theory.

This graduate capability is supported by:

Learning outcomes

- Demonstrate a strong understanding of how to define a specific academic discipline.
- Demonstrate awareness of the historical development of the discipline in which the project is being undertaken.
- Demonstrate a clear ability to communicate the relationship of the project to the discipline and sub-discipline in both written and oral form.
- Demonstrate an ability to critically evaluate the leading scholarly approaches within in the sub-discipline.

Assessment tasks

- Conference presentation
- Written Report
- Draft Literature Review
- Methods Report
- · Thesis submission
- PhD Proposal Workshop

PG - Research and Problem Solving Capability

Our postgraduates will be capable of systematic enquiry; able to use research skills to create new knowledge that can be applied to real world issues, or contribute to a field of study or practice to enhance society. They will be capable of creative questioning, problem finding and problem solving.

This graduate capability is supported by:

Learning outcomes

- Demonstrate a strong understanding of how to define a specific academic discipline.
- Demonstrate a clear ability to communicate the relationship of the project to the discipline and sub-discipline in both written and oral form.
- Demonstrate an ability to critically evaluate the leading scholarly approaches within in the sub-discipline.

Assessment tasks

- · Conference presentation
- Written Report

- · Draft Literature Review
- · Methods Report
- Thesis submission
- PhD Proposal Workshop

PG - Effective Communication

Our postgraduates will be able to communicate effectively and convey their views to different social, cultural, and professional audiences. They will be able to use a variety of technologically supported media to communicate with empathy using a range of written, spoken or visual formats.

This graduate capability is supported by:

Learning outcomes

- Demonstrate a clear ability to communicate the relationship of the project to the discipline and sub-discipline in both written and oral form.
- Demonstrate an ability to critically evaluate the leading scholarly approaches within in the sub-discipline.

Assessment tasks

- · Conference presentation
- Written Report
- · Draft Literature Review
- · Methods Report
- · Thesis submission
- PhD Proposal Workshop

PG - Engaged and Responsible, Active and Ethical Citizens

Our postgraduates will be ethically aware and capable of confident transformative action in relation to their professional responsibilities and the wider community. They will have a sense of connectedness with others and country and have a sense of mutual obligation. They will be able to appreciate the impact of their professional roles for social justice and inclusion related to national and global issues

This graduate capability is supported by:

Learning outcome

 Demonstrate a clear ability to communicate the relationship of the project to the discipline and sub-discipline in both written and oral form.

Assessment task

Methods Report