MAS 304
Screens, Images, Ideas
S2 Day 2014

Dept of Media, Music & Cultural Studies

Contents

General Information 2
Learning Outcomes 2
Assessment Tasks 3
Delivery and Resources 8
Unit Schedule 8
Policies and Procedures 22
Graduate Capabilities 24
Changes from Previous Offering 29
Introduction and Aims 29

Disclaimer
Macquarie University has taken all reasonable measures to ensure the information in this publication is accurate and up-to-date. However, the information may change or become out-dated as a result of change in University policies, procedures or rules. The University reserves the right to make changes to any information in this publication without notice. Users of this publication are advised to check the website version of this publication [or the relevant faculty or department] before acting on any information in this publication.
## General Information

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Unit convenor and teaching staff</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Unit Convenor, Lecturer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr Catherine Simpson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><a href="mailto:catherine.simpson@mq.edu.au">catherine.simpson@mq.edu.au</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contact via <a href="mailto:catherine.simpson@mq.edu.au">catherine.simpson@mq.edu.au</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y3A 165D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-3pm Tuesdays</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tutor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dr Enda Murray</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><a href="mailto:enda.murray@mq.edu.au">enda.murray@mq.edu.au</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contact via <a href="mailto:enda.murray@mq.edu.au">enda.murray@mq.edu.au</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y3A 165</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TBA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Credit points</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Prerequisites</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>39cp</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Corequisites</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Co-badged status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### Unit description

This unit analyses issues in film culture by screenings of fictional and non-fictional films (and/or television texts) from a range of countries. Typical topics covered include: the transformation of 1960s New Hollywood into contemporary Hollywood; film and other media; cult/exploitation cinema; the concept of independent cinema; national cinemas; the relation of philosophical-cultural concepts to film.

## Important Academic Dates

Information about important academic dates including deadlines for withdrawing from units are available at [https://students.mq.edu.au/important-dates](https://students.mq.edu.au/important-dates)

## Learning Outcomes

- Understand the main debates relevant to specific sub-regions of Film Studies
- Develop an expanded historical knowledge of (mainly) post 1950s film and film theory
Interpret and analyse a wide range of recent film-cultural theories and practices of filmmaking
Produce and communicate work in a manner consistent with accepted academic standards in written and spoken forms
Develop skills in film-critical research and film-textual analysis
Evaluate and appreciate different stylistic modes of writing about films

Assessment Tasks

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Weighting</th>
<th>Due</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Oral Presentation/Video Essay</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Film Log (Part One)</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>Friday 12th September</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Draft Abstract</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>24th October (in ilearn)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Film Log (Part Two)</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>Friday 7th November</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Course Participation</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final Assignment</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>Monday 17th November</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Oral Presentation/Video Essay

Due: Ongoing
Weighting: 15%

In groups groups of 2 or 3 you are required to do either an Oral Presentation OR a Video Essay.

Oral Presentation description: You are required to present an oral film review/dialogue in the style of Margaret Pommeranz and David Stratton's 'At the Movies' (on ABC1, 9:30pm Thursdays). If you have a third person in the group they can act as a 'special guest' or perhaps the film's director/actor/producer etc.

You will be required to give your own personal review/account of the film in question as well as contextualise the film (as part of film history), relate it to other films (perhaps from that country or that director) and also show a few important clips to demonstrate the points you are making. You may use any other AV props at your disposal. Each presentation should go for a maximum of 15 minutes.

Assessment criteria: You will be assessed on your 1) Oral presentation & communication skills 2) evidence of structure & preparation 3) performance & audience engagement 4) creativity 5) ability to contextualise the film as part of a) film history b) that specific directors' repertoire c) ability to relate the film to one (or more) of the concepts that are evident in the readings 6) ability to respond to questions from your peers.
OR

**Video Essay Description:** As an alternative to the ‘At the Movies’ Presentation, students have the option of producing a video essay. A video essay (VE) is an essay that takes the form of a short video/multimedia mash-up using some form of narration (intertitles, voice-overs etc) and explores some aspect of the particular film in question (style, form, genre, themes, theory, narrative etc). Taking the footage from one (or more) films, the VE producer(s) edit(s) this material together using other elements such as text, graphics, music, voice-over or inter-titles to produce insightful commentary and observation in graphically creative and provocative ways.

Kevin Lee says that: “These videos are typically produced independently by using consumer-level equipment, demonstrating that just about anyone with a computer can be both a filmmaker and a critic. The only limits are those of imagination and intelligence”


As with the presentation you will be required to give your own personal review/account of the film in question as well as contextualise the film (as part of film history), relate it to other films (perhaps from that country or that director) and edit together a few important clips to demonstrate the points you are making. The length of each VE should be between 8-10 minutes.

**Assessment criteria:** Like the presentation above, you will be assessed on your 1) Oral presentation & communication skills 2) evidence of structure & preparation 3) performance & audience engagement 4) creativity 5) ability to contextualise the film as part of a) film history b) that specific directors’ repertoire c) ability to relate the film to one (or more) of the concepts that are evident in the readings 6) ability to respond to questions from your peers.

On successful completion you will be able to:

- Understand the main debates relevant to specific sub-regions of Film Studies
- Interpret and analyse a wide range of recent film-cultural theories and practices of filmmaking
- Produce and communicate work in a manner consistent with accepted academic standards in written and spoken forms
- Develop skills in film-critical research and film-textual analysis

**Film Log (Part One)**

**Due:** **Friday 12th September**

**Weighting:** **15%**

Choose 5 films from the first 6 weeks of the course and select one scene (or one particular aspect) of each film to analyse. Each entry should be 250-300 words in length (Maximum 1500 words overall). Please submit to ilearn discussion forum as well as Turnitin.

**Assessment criteria:** You will be assessed on your ability to write clearly and coherently and discuss one (or more) aspects of film style (such as mise-en-scene, sound, cinematography etc), narrative or characterisation of your chosen scene and illustrate how this relates to the film as a
whole. Please make sure your work is well structured. (Please note: we will provide ample opportunities for you to practise this during tutorials).

On successful completion you will be able to:

• Understand the main debates relevant to specific sub-regions of Film Studies
• Develop an expanded historical knowledge of (mainly) post 1950s film and film theory
• Interpret and analyse a wide range of recent film-cultural theories and practices of filmmaking
• Produce and communicate work in a manner consistent with accepted academic standards in written and spoken forms
• Evaluate and appreciate different stylistic modes of writing about films

Draft Abstract
Due: 24th October (in iLearn)
Weighting: 5%

Students are required to submit a draft abstract (with annotated bibliography) to iLearn, outlining their topic and central argument for their final essay by the end of week 10. Students will provide peer feedback on each other’s abstracts in iLearn and then be able to incorporate changes to their own before submitting an updated version of their abstract as part of the final essay. The bibliography is required to have a minimum of 3 relevant sources from the readings plus 3 outside the course (journal articles, scholarly monographs/edited books etc) plus 3 others (non-scholarly such as reviews, relevant URL’s, press packs etc).

PLEASE NOTE: As well as giving you the opportunity to develop your abstract :-)) writing skills, the purpose of this assessment is to receive feedback and direction for your final paper. This draft version will not be graded. Word-length: 250 words.

The final abstract (that you submit with your essay) will be assessed on your ability to clearly outline your argument, effectively structure your paper, as well outline the theoretical approach and conceptual framework your paper will take. We will distribute some guidance on how to write an abstract during tutorials. Don’t forget to include a preliminary bibliography and essay title when you post your abstract.

On successful completion you will be able to:

• Understand the main debates relevant to specific sub-regions of Film Studies
• Develop an expanded historical knowledge of (mainly) post 1950s film and film theory
• Interpret and analyse a wide range of recent film-cultural theories and practices of filmmaking
• Produce and communicate work in a manner consistent with accepted academic standards in written and spoken forms
• Develop skills in film-critical research and film-textual analysis
• Evaluate and appreciate different stylistic modes of writing about films

Film Log (Part Two)
Due: Friday 7th November
Weighting: 15%

Choose 5 films from the second half of the course (weeks 7-12) and then select a scene (or one particular aspect) to analyse. Each entry should be 250-300 words in length (Maximum 1500 words). Please submit to ilearn and Turnitin.

Assessment criteria: You will be assessed on your ability to write clearly and coherently and discuss one (or more) aspects of film style (such as mise-en-scene, sound, cinematography etc), narrative or characterisation of your chosen scene and illustrate how this relates to the film as a whole. Please make sure your work is well structured.

On successful completion you will be able to:
• Understand the main debates relevant to specific sub-regions of Film Studies
• Develop an expanded historical knowledge of (mainly) post 1950s film and film theory
• Interpret and analyse a wide range of recent film-cultural theories and practices of filmmaking
• Produce and communicate work in a manner consistent with accepted academic standards in written and spoken forms
• Evaluate and appreciate different stylistic modes of writing about films

Course Participation
Due: Ongoing
Weighting: 15%

Participation assessment criteria for this unit will be based on not only regular tutorial and lecture attendance and quality contribution to discussion as well as quality feedback on our forums. Please note: if you have to miss ANY tutorials or lectures, please make sure you inform your tutor and bring along any relevant MCs.

Your minimum ilearn peer feedback is 2 posts to draft abstracts on ilearn. Please note that we will handsomely reward those students who show active engagement and quality contribution to the course.

On successful completion you will be able to:
• Understand the main debates relevant to specific sub-regions of Film Studies
• Interpret and analyse a wide range of recent film-cultural theories and practices of filmmaking
• Produce and communicate work in a manner consistent with accepted academic standards in written and spoken forms

https://unitguides.mq.edu.au/unit_offerings/33130/unit_guide/print
Final Assignment
Due: **Monday 17th November**
Weighting: **35%**

For the major assignment you will be required to formulate a response (maximum length: 2000 words for the academic essay or 10 minutes if you choose the visual essay) to a question that you have previously outlined in your abstract. Choose a topic according to your interests which demonstrates an understanding of one or more of the central concepts from the course, as well as demonstrates your ability to apply these to specific films (both from the course and outside of it). Students have the option of formulating their own questions (in consultation with their tutor) or responding to more guided questions released well before the assignment is due. Please submit your essay (including your final abstract) to TURNITIN. The Abstract plus the Final Essay is worth 40% of your overall mark.

**Assessment criteria:**

Evidence of research and **reading** (critical engagement with our specific curriculum: films, lectures, tutorials readings).

Demonstrate **further research** beyond the course (minimum of 5 further articles/monographs)

Demonstrate **critical engagement** with some of the central concepts and theory of the course and be able to apply these to your film examples.

Present a clearly **structured** essay and well-supported **argument**.

Adequate **referencing**.

Please note that late assignments will not be accepted unless for reasons of illness or personal misadventure. Extensions to assignment deadlines must be applied for and supported with documentation (such as medical certificate) where possible no less than 3 days before the due date and forwarded to the tutor. Please also note that there will be no marker’s comments on the late assignment when it is returned.

On successful completion you will be able to:

- Understand the main debates relevant to specific sub-regions of Film Studies
- Develop an expanded historical knowledge of (mainly) post 1950s film and film theory
- Interpret and analyse a wide range of recent film-cultural theories and practices of filmmaking
- Produce and communicate work in a manner consistent with accepted academic standards in written and spoken forms
- Develop skills in film-critical research and film-textual analysis
- Evaluate and appreciate different stylistic modes of writing about films
Delivery and Resources

Classes

Each week there is a three-hour teaching block incorporating a lecture and film screenings on Tuesday 10-1pm in Y3A T1 and a one-hour tutorial on Monday. It is an assessment requirement of this unit that students attend ALL classes in order to pass the unit.

The timetable for classes can be found online at: https://timetables.mq.edu.au/2014/

Failure to attend more than 2 tutorials without adequate explanation may result in a fail.

All assignments must be attempted in order to pass this course.

Required and Recommended Texts and/or Materials

Prescribed textbook(s): None

The MAS 304 Unit Reader can be purchased from the Co-op Bookshop. It is an essential text for the study of this unit.

Technology Used and Required

No special technology required but access to ilearn, to university library databases and a computer to complete written assignments is essential.

Learning and Teaching Activities

In order to participate fully in weekly tutorial discussions students should have watched the previous week’s film screening, heard the previous week’s lecture, and read the set tutorial readings contained in the MAS 304 Unit Reader. Note: these readings are also intended to assist you in the writing of your essays, and it may not be the case that every reading will be discussed in tutorials.

Unit Schedule

Weekly schedule: At a Glance
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>WEEK &amp; LECTURE DATE/DAY</th>
<th>LECTURE &amp; SCREENING</th>
<th>TUTORIALS</th>
<th>ASSESSMENT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Block One: From High-concept Hollywood to Iranian minimalism: Global worlds/divided communities</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week 1 Aug 4</td>
<td>The Day After Tomorrow &amp; Rise of Clio Fi (Introductory Lecture)</td>
<td><em>No tutorials this week</em></td>
<td>Read the study guide, and ilearn site. Buy reader and start reading for Week 2’s tutes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week 2 Aug 11</td>
<td>Digital Technology and Political filmmaking: cinema of a stateless nation (Turtles Can Fly)</td>
<td>See week one readings. Organise students into groups for our ‘At the Movies’ activity</td>
<td>Please make sure you do readings on DAT before your tutorial on Thursday &amp; Friday</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week 3 Aug 18</td>
<td>Under Cover On Screen: women in Iranian cinema (Persepolis)</td>
<td>Week two readings and expectations for presentations and other assessment items</td>
<td>Start working on your film log</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week 4 Aug 26</td>
<td>Politics of Childhood and trafficking women (Lilya-4-Ever)</td>
<td>Week Three readings &amp; ‘At the Movies’ presentations start this week</td>
<td>Make sure you’re up-to-date with your film log</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week 5 Sept 1</td>
<td>Politics of Animal Exploitation: Non-fiction</td>
<td>Week Four Readings (presentations continue)</td>
<td>Remember: FINALISE FILM LOG – due next week</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week 6 Sept 8</td>
<td>Mira Nair &amp; Diasporic Desire (Monsoon Wedding &amp; Salaam Bombay)</td>
<td>Week Five Readings (presentations continue)</td>
<td>First film log due: Friday September 12th: post to ilearn and submit to Turnitin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week 7 Sept 15</td>
<td>Bollywood, Nationhood &amp; cricket (Lagaan)</td>
<td>Week Six Readings (presentations continue)</td>
<td>Post peer feedback on film logs to ilearn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MID SEMESTER BREAK</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Block Two: Auteurs &amp; Non-conformists</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week 8 Oct 6</td>
<td>Spike Lee and ethnicity (Jungle Fever)</td>
<td><strong>NO TUTES THIS WEEK – MONDAY PUBLIC HOLIDAY</strong></td>
<td>Post peer feedback on film logs to ilearn</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Week One (Tues, August 5th): *The Day After Tomorrow & the rise of Cli-Fi*

Promoted as ‘the movie the White House doesn’t want you to see’, Roland Emmerich’s high-concept Hollywood blockbuster, *The Day After Tomorrow (DAT)*, uses global warming as the underlying premise to decimate Manhattan, only a few years post-September 11. Cli-Fi, short for climate-fiction, is a sub-genre of science fiction which has emerged in literature but could equally apply to a number of disaster flicks released in the last decade, Emmerich’s being one of them.

In tutorials you might like to consider some of the following questions: How does ‘Hollywood ecology’ (Cubitt 2005: 125), evident in films like *The Day After Tomorrow*, assist in shaping our imagining of a future dominated by climate change? What kinds of stylistic and narrative conventions does Emmerich employ in this film and are they effective? What other examples of cli-fi can you think of and how do they

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Week</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Week 9 Oct 13</td>
<td></td>
<td>Transnational Turkish Cinema &amp; impossible homecomings: Fatih Akin</td>
<td>Start working on second film log</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Week Eight Readings (presentations continue) Final essays – expectations, criteria, how to write an abstract</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week 10 Oct 20</td>
<td></td>
<td>Post-TV viewing &amp; <em>The Wire</em></td>
<td>Remember abstract for final essay due next week – don’t forget to include your bibliography</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Week Nine Readings (presentations continue)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week 11 Oct 27</td>
<td></td>
<td>NITV: Beyond Good/ Should/Bad (<em>The Sapphires, First Australians</em>)</td>
<td>Draft Abstract due Friday October 24th (&quot;post to our ilearn forum&quot;)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Week Ten Readings (presentations continue)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week 12 Nov 3</td>
<td></td>
<td>New Hollywood’s non-conformists and the tradition of the road (<em>Easy Rider</em>)</td>
<td>Second Film Log Due: Friday 7th November (post to ilearn and submit Turnitin) Post Peer feedback on 2 of the abstracts in ilearn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Week Eleven Readings (Final Presentations this week)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week 13 Nov 11</td>
<td></td>
<td>No lecture this week</td>
<td>Remember Essay due next week – complete draft of final essay</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Week Twelve Readings Discussion of final essay – bring any final questions to tutes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week 14 Nov 18</td>
<td></td>
<td>No lecture this week</td>
<td>No tutorial – staff available for consultation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No tutorial – staff available for consultation</td>
<td>Final Essay due: Monday November 17th (Submit to Turnitin)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
compare to *The Day After Tomorrow*? Do you think *DAT* is an example of social problem-filmmaking or rather, a politically motivated distortion of complex scientific and political questions? Is it wrong to derive pleasure from apocalyptic catastrophe?

**Required Readings:**


**Recommended Readings:**


Reusswig, Fritz. (2005). ‘The International Impact of *The Day After Tomorrow*’ 47.3

Sean Cubitt, 2005 ‘Always take the Weather: Green Media in Global Context’ in his *EcoMedia* Amsterdam and New York: Rodopi,


**Week Two (Tues, August 12th): Transnational political filmmaking: ‘cinema of a stateless nation’**

Lightweight digital technology has created many opportunities for political and activist filmmaking of a very different kind to that glimpsed in *The Day After Tomorrow*. Bahman Gobadi’s *Turtles Can Fly* was the first film to come out of Iraq after the American invasion. Like many Iranian films that use non-professional child actors, this film focuses on a community of Kurdish refugee children eking out a living collecting and selling abandoned land mines. They exist in a precarious space near the Turkey-Iran border. Like his earlier film, *Time for Drunken Horses*, the director, Iranian Kurd Bahman Gobadi, populates *Turtles* with “dislocated and isolated characters whose position and physical location is contingent upon larger, unseen political forces — it is the cinema of a stateless nation [Kurdistan]” (Hamid 2005; 42).

How does a western viewer engage with a film like *Turtles Can Fly*? Is it possible to compare this film to *DAT*, or are they completely different beasts? What are their similarities? What are the transnational aspects of *Turtles*? Ezra and Rowden argue that, ‘Those films most likely to circulate transnationally are those that are more ‘Western friendly’, adopting familiar genres, narratives of themes in their hybrid productions’ … They function as what Jigme Desai calls, ‘tasty, easily swallowed, apolitical global cultural morsels’ (Desai 45, 90) – in other words, cinematic McNuggets’ (Ezra & Rowden p. 6). Is *Turtles can Fly* just a McNugget?

**Required Readings:**


**Recommended Readings:**


**Week Three (Tues, August 19th): Under Cover On screen: Women in Iranian cinema**

The concepts of ‘national cinema’ and ‘world cinema’ have been important to the promotion of non-Hollywood cinemas. Iranian cinema is one example of a national cinema that’s often defined in opposition to Hollywood, but it’s also classified as ‘third cinema’. This week we put Iranian cinema in context but look closely at the role of women filmmakers and the representation of gender. Our main screening is the (French-funded) animation film, *Persepolis* (2007), based on the highly successful autobiographical graphic novel of the same name by Marjane Satrapi. *Persepolis* (written/directed by Satrapi and Vincent Paronnaud) won the Jury Prize at Cannes and depicts a young girl growing up in a highly volatile period of Iranian history in the 1970s and 80s and her subsequent exile to France.

How might animation acknowledge the impossibility of representation of violent events in history? How does *Persepolis* challenge the stereotypes and assumptions of Iran that we are often subjected to in the news, or even in recent films such as *Argo* (Ben Affleck, 2012)? What kind of stylistic choices do the directors/writers/animators make in the depiction of religious extremists and other characters in the film? What factors have given rise to the increasing presence of women (both on and off-screen) in post-revolutionary Iranian cinema? Comment on the aesthetics and significance of veiling/unveiling in Iranian cinema?

**Required Readings:**


Recommended Readings:


Week Four (Tues, August 26th): Politics of Childhood & Trafficking women

A number of films we have viewed in this course so far have featured child actors (eg. Turtles Can Fly, Ten,
The Day I Became a Woman and The Day After Tomorrow). Our screening this week, Lilya 4-Ever, is about a Russian teenager abandoned by her mother and left to her own devices in decaying, post-Soviet Russia. Lilya’s only friend is an angelic little boy called Volodya. In addition to the representation of childhood/children, we also turn our attention to the trafficking of women.

While not overtly didactic, Lilya 4-Ever was taken up by the Swedish government to educate its own population (and internationally) on the horrors of trafficking women from Eastern Europe and Russia. The director, Lukas Moodyson says that: “I wanted my film to be a train running over the audience” (Noh 2004: p. 20). Do you think he’s been effective in this and what kinds of stylistic and narrative conventions does he use to do this? How does the portrayal of children (and childhood) compare to others you’ve seen in the course so far? If you’ve seen the English-language French action film Taken (Pierre Morel, 2008), how does Lilya compare? What is the ‘Swedish Solution’ and do you think this should be advocated for in Australia?

**Screening:** Lilya 4-Ever (Lukas Moodysen, 2003)

**Required Readings:**


**Recommended Readings:**


**Week Five (Tues, September 2nd): Politics of Animal Exploitation: Non-fiction**

Our screening today, Gabriela Copperthwaite’s *Blackfish* (2013) joins a recent wave of documentaries which are ‘calls to action’ against animal exploitation such as the Oscar-winning guerilla film, *The Cove* (2010) and the Scarlett Johansonn-produced, *The Whale* (2011). *Blackfish* is the story of a SeaWorld orca named Tillikum, who, traumatised by decades in captivity, has taken multiple human lives. Director of *The Whale*, Michael Parfit, argues that the connection between these films is “a widespread recognition that humans and
animals are not so far apart, both in geographical fact as we encroach farther and farther into their domains, and in our fundamental biology and emotional lives… Both science and these creative efforts show that we can no longer treat animals as second class citizens” (Campbell).

In one of your articles for this week from National Geographic, scientist Lori Marino argues that animals should be legally recognised as persons. What evidence does she give for this and do you agree with her? What kinds of narrative and stylistic devices do films like Blackfish and The Cove use to win over the viewer & are they effective or are they exploitative (of the viewer)? What is the ‘Blackfish effect’ and would a doco like Blackfish stop you attending SeaWorld? More than just animal porn, why are TV-scientist collaborations like Crittercam important, according to Donna Haraway in your final reading for this week? What similarities and differences does this film have with other documentaries on animal exploitation that you've seen?

**Screening:** Blackfish (Gabriela Copperthwaite 2014) with excerpts from The Cove (Louie Psihoyos, 2009) and The Whale (Suzanne Chrisholm & Michael Parfit, 2011)

**Required:**


**Recommended:**


**Week Six (Tues, September 9th): Diasporic Desire**

This week we profile the career of transnational film auteur Mira Nair. You may have seen her latest film, The Reluctant Fundamentalist (2012), that had a season at Dendy. In this lecture (and tutes) we look at two of her earlier films, Salaam Bombay! and Monsoon Wedding which present two sides of India that are in stark opposition. Salaam Bombay! depicts a brief moment in the life a little boy, ‘Chaipau’ who lives on the streets and in the brothels of Bombay. In contrast Monsoon Wedding takes place in New Delhi when a group of middle class NRIs (non-resident Indians) from a large Punjabi family return for a wedding. MW became one of the highest grossing foreign films in the US and won the Golden Lion at Venice but lost the Oscar nomination to Lagaan (Desai 2004, 213).
To what extent do you think Nair’s cinematic representation of Indian subalterns in Salaam Bombay! is ‘touristic’ and ‘voyeuristic’ (Naficy 2001, p. 69)? In comparison to Salaam Bombay, how are societal divisions (class, gender, generational) manifested in Monsoon Wedding? What role does colour, lighting and sound play in representing these divisions? What does Hamid Naficy mean by the term ‘accented cinema’? Do you agree with Patricia Uberoi’s claim that this film was successful because it did not display the vulgarity associated with commercial Bollywood made for working class Indians (Desai 2004; p. 218)

Screening: Monsoon Wedding (Mira Nair, 2002) with excerpts from Salaam Bombay (Mira Nair, 1988), Mississippi Masala (Mira Nair, 1992) and The Reluctant Fundamentalist (Mira Nair 2012)

Required Readings:

Recommended Readings:


Week Seven (Tues, September 16th): Bollywood, Nationhood & Cricket

India is such a diverse nation that it's often remarked that the only thing holding the nation-state together is Bollywood and cricket. The central premise of this week’s screening, Lagaan (‘tax’ in Hindi), set at the end of the 19th century, concerns a cricket game of epic proportions between some Indian villagers and British colonials.

According to Grant Farred, how does this film articulate a ‘double temporality’? And what role does the feringhee (the white woman) play in this film? Using Lagaan and your readings to guide
you, what are some of the stylistic preoccupations of Bollywood cinema? Could Bollywood cinema be described as a ‘national cinema’? Is the spectator-subject differently positioned in popular Hindi cinema in comparison to much filmmaking from ‘the west’? If so, how? To what extent do you think it’s possible for viewers in Sydney to appreciate Bollywood films? Have you seen *Slumdog Millionaire* (Danny Boyle, 2008) and if so, how does *Lagaan* compare?

**Screening:** *Lagaan* (Ashutosh Gowarika, 2001)

**Required Readings:**


**Recommended Readings:**


** MID SEMESTER BREAK **

**Week Eight (Tuesday October 7th): Spike Lee & ethnicity**

2014 marks the 25th anniversary of Spike Lee's legendary *Do the Right Thing*, the film Barack and
Michelle Obama saw on their first date. The late Roger Ebert, renowned movie critic, cited it as one of America’s greatest movies (Mock 2014). This week begins our exploration of ‘Auteurs & Non-conformists’ and we look at the work of one of the US’s most prolific and controversial directors, Spike Lee. Lee’s films are often viewed as controversial not only in their representation of ethnicity, but also in the way that gender and ethnicity intersect. In fact the studio, Universal Pictures, that produced one of his more recent films, *Inside Man* (2006), played down the fact that Lee directed it given his reputation for being divisive. *Do the Right Thing* is no exception. It’s an ensemble film depicting the rising racial tensions in a Brooklyn neighbourhood over the course of one day in the middle of a heatwave. On its release the film was criticised for its potential to incite race riots.

Why do Lee’s films provoke such controversy and do they still strike a chord in contemporary American race politics or are they no longer relevant? What role does music play in his films? *Do the Right Thing* connects environmental issues with race and politics in a particularly prescient way. Do you agree with Mock’s argument in this regard? And given that Lee is now part of the filmmaking establishment, should he be using kickstarter to raise funds for his filmmaking enterprises?

**Screening:** *Do the Right Thing* (Spike Lee, 1989), with excerpts from his *She’s Gotta Have it* (1986) and *Jungle Fever* (1991).

**Required Readings:**


**Recommended Readings:**


**Week Nine (Tuesday October 14th): Transnational Turkish Cinema: Impossible Homecomings?**

This is our second week in our module on auteurs and non-conformists. We explore the work of German-Turkish auteur, Fatih Akin. His landmark film *Head On* starts in Hamburg and ends in Istanbul. With the Turkish diaspora in Germany numbering over 3 million, a growing body of films are being made about Turkish experiences of living in German cities. Their impact have driven some critics to claim: “that the new German film is Turkish and that ‘Turkish’ cultural production has the potential of salvaging ‘German’
culture” (Fachinger 2007, p. 243).

Our screening for this week, *Head-On*, won the Golden Bear at the Berlin Film Festival and the European film of the year in 2004. It has elements of melodrama and *kara sevda* (or deadly passion) glimpsed in many Turkish films and it also exudes a raw kinetic energy. The story centres on two second-generation Turks living in Hamburg, Cahit and Sibel, and their marriage of convenience. How is their experience of ‘exile’ or ‘diaspora’ in a ‘foreign’ country contrasted to the characters we saw earlier in *Turtles Can Fly* and *Lilya-4-Ever*? What might a European cinema that includes Turkish productions look like? What role does music play in this film?

**Screening:** *Head-On* (Fatih Akin, 2004) with excerpts from *Im July* (Fatih Akin, 2001), *Edge of Heaven* (Fatih Akin, 2007), *Kebab Connection* (Anno Saul, 2004)

**Required Readings:**


**Recommended Readings:**


Week Ten (Tuesday October 21st): Post-TV viewing & The Wire (episode one & episode on media)

With its complex narratives and impenetrable lingo, David Simon’s series of The Wire (HBO 2002-2008) has been described as “a challenging and serious piece of contemporary art” much more suited to post-TV viewing (Sharma 2011) which subverts the usual consumption of TV. The director, David Simon, who worked as a journalist before producing TV, doesn’t believe in pandering to audience expectation. “F&^%ck the average audience”, he once said in an interview.

Sharma argues that The Wire is different to other recent TV series which have received cult following (The Sopranos, Six Feet Under) because of ‘the intellectual demands of the series, especially its sociologically driven analysis of contemporary urban society. In this respect, the show has been of interest not just to television studies scholars, but to academics from very diverse fields of study.’ How is The Wire ‘more real than reality’ and ‘an open textual machine’? What is the film’s explicit political agenda? How is race an absent presence in the film? And how does this film compare to Lee’s Do the Right Thing?

Required Reading:


Please have a look at the other essays in this issue of Dark Matter: http://www.darkmatter101.org/site/category/journal/issues/4-the-wire/

Week Eleven (Tuesday October 28th): NITV - beyond good/should/bad?

“Having four Aboriginal women on the big screen is political.” – Tony Briggs, Co-writer and Associate producer, The Sapphires, Melbourne International Film Festival, July 2012

This week we look at National Indigenous Television (NITV) and the role that it’s played in nurturing young talent and in providing alternative viewing options for our public to the commercial broadcasters. NITV emerged out of twenty years of a successful, but highly dispersed, grass-roots media system with regional control. In addition to looking at a number of short clips, our main screening this week will be the top grossing Australian film of 2012, The Sapphires, which took $14.5 million at the box office. Based on a stage play of the same name, the film received a 10-minute standing ovation at Cannes.

In tutes and lectures, we will look at questions such as, how can Indigenous media play a greater role in the Australian public sphere? Can locally controlled media offer national narratives? Where does industry development begin and end? Do you think portraying indigenous
characters on screen is still 'box office poison', as Fred Schepisi once claimed after he released his landmark film, *The Chant of Jimmie Blacksmith* (1978). We’ll also examine some of the issues that Therese Davis raises in her short paper on the way in which students regard indigenous films. And why is having four Aboriginal women on the big screen political, as Tony Briggs, the co-writer and associate producer of *The Sapphires*, claims?


**Required Readings:**


**Recommended Readings:**


Langton, M. (1993) "Well, I heard it on the radio and I saw it on the television ...": an essay for the Australian Film Commission on the politics and aesthetics of filmmaking by and about Aboriginal people and things, North Sydney, NSW: Australian Film Commission.

**Week Twelve (November 4th): New Hollywood’s non-conformists and the tradition of the road**

"A man went looking for America. And couldn't find it anywhere", (*Easy Rider* PR material).

Easy Rider has been described variously as, "lyrical and brilliant, the reflection of its generation ... like a Bob Dylan song on celluloid" (*Washington Post*), and by Rex Reed as, "taking off where Kerouac and Lawrence Lipton and all the Holy Barbarians left off ... like a nature study filmed on an opium trip". Our film this week examines New Hollywood’s tradition of the road and we look at Dennis Hopper’s classic counter-culture film, *Easy Rider*.
Many of the films we've looked at in MAS304 so far are road movies of sorts (Ten, Day After Tomorrow, Head On, Lilya-4-Ever, The Sapphires). How does this film compare to them, or others you've seen in the course? From what context (social, historical, political) did Easy Rider emerge? Does the film still have resonances for your generation or are the issues that the film deals with now no longer relevant? What do you think made New Hollywood's directors non-conformists? What is meant by the quote at the top of this section? What elements of the 'road movie' genre does Easy Rider contain? And for those of you that are taking MAS302, what might be a feminist critique of Easy Rider?

**Screening:** Easy Rider (Dennis Hopper, 1969)

**Required Readings:**


**Recommended Readings:**


**Policies and Procedures**

Macquarie University policies and procedures are accessible from [Policy Central](http://mq.edu.au/policy/docs/academic_honesty/policy.html). Students should be aware of the following policies in particular with regard to Learning and Teaching:


Disruption to Studies Policy http://www.mq.edu.au/policy/docs/disruption_studies/policy.html The Disruption to Studies Policy is effective from March 3 2014 and replaces the Special Consideration Policy.

In addition, a number of other policies can be found in the Learning and Teaching Category of Policy Central.

**Student Code of Conduct**

Macquarie University students have a responsibility to be familiar with the Student Code of Conduct: https://students.mq.edu.au/support/student_conduct/

**Additional information**

MMCCS website https://www.mq.edu.au/about_us/faculties_and_departments/faculty_of_arts/department_of_media_music_communication_and_cultural_studies/

MMCCS Session Re-mark Application http://www.mq.edu.au/pubstatic/public/download/?id=167914

Information is correct at the time of publication

**Student Support**

Macquarie University provides a range of support services for students. For details, visit http://students.mq.edu.au/support/

**Learning Skills**

Learning Skills (mq.edu.au/learningskills) provides academic writing resources and study strategies to improve your marks and take control of your study.

- Workshops
- StudyWise
- Academic Integrity Module for Students
- Ask a Learning Adviser

**Student Enquiry Service**

For all student enquiries, visit Student Connect at ask.mq.edu.au

**Equity Support**

Students with a disability are encouraged to contact the Disability Service who can provide appropriate help with any issues that arise during their studies.

**IT Help**

For help with University computer systems and technology, visit http://informatics.mq.edu.au/help/.
Graduate Capabilities

Discipline Specific Knowledge and Skills

Our graduates will take with them the intellectual development, depth and breadth of knowledge, scholarly understanding, and specific subject content in their chosen fields to make them competent and confident in their subject or profession. They will be able to demonstrate, where relevant, professional technical competence and meet professional standards. They will be able to articulate the structure of knowledge of their discipline, be able to adapt discipline-specific knowledge to novel situations, and be able to contribute from their discipline to inter-disciplinary solutions to problems.

This graduate capability is supported by:

Learning outcomes

- Understand the main debates relevant to specific sub-regions of Film Studies
- Develop an expanded historical knowledge of (mainly) post 1950s film and film theory
- Interpret and analyse a wide range of recent film-cultural theories and practices of filmmaking
- Develop skills in film-critical research and film-textual analysis
- Evaluate and appreciate different stylistic modes of writing about films

Assessment tasks

- Oral Presentation/Video Essay
- Film Log (Part One)
- Draft Abstract
- Film Log (Part Two)
- Course Participation
- Final Assignment

Critical, Analytical and Integrative Thinking

We want our graduates to be capable of reasoning, questioning and analysing, and to integrate and synthesise learning and knowledge from a range of sources and environments; to be able to critique constraints, assumptions and limitations; to be able to think independently and systemically in relation to scholarly activity, in the workplace, and in the world. We want them to have a level of scientific and information technology literacy.

This graduate capability is supported by:
Learning outcomes

• Understand the main debates relevant to specific sub-regions of Film Studies
• Develop an expanded historical knowledge of (mainly) post 1950s film and film theory
• Interpret and analyse a wide range of recent film-cultural theories and practices of filmmaking
• Produce and communicate work in a manner consistent with accepted academic standards in written and spoken forms
• Develop skills in film-critical research and film-textual analysis
• Evaluate and appreciate different stylistic modes of writing about films

Assessment tasks

• Oral Presentation/Video Essay
• Film Log (Part One)
• Draft Abstract
• Film Log (Part Two)
• Course Participation
• Final Assignment

Problem Solving and Research Capability

Our graduates should be capable of researching; of analysing, and interpreting and assessing data and information in various forms; of drawing connections across fields of knowledge; and they should be able to relate their knowledge to complex situations at work or in the world, in order to diagnose and solve problems. We want them to have the confidence to take the initiative in doing so, within an awareness of their own limitations.

This graduate capability is supported by:

Learning outcomes

• Understand the main debates relevant to specific sub-regions of Film Studies
• Develop an expanded historical knowledge of (mainly) post 1950s film and film theory
• Interpret and analyse a wide range of recent film-cultural theories and practices of filmmaking
• Produce and communicate work in a manner consistent with accepted academic standards in written and spoken forms
• Develop skills in film-critical research and film-textual analysis
• Evaluate and appreciate different stylistic modes of writing about films

Assessment tasks

• Draft Abstract
Creative and Innovative

Our graduates will also be capable of creative thinking and of creating knowledge. They will be imaginative and open to experience and capable of innovation at work and in the community. We want them to be engaged in applying their critical, creative thinking.

This graduate capability is supported by:

**Learning outcomes**

- Produce and communicate work in a manner consistent with accepted academic standards in written and spoken forms
- Develop skills in film-critical research and film-textual analysis
- Evaluate and appreciate different stylistic modes of writing about films

**Assessment tasks**

- Oral Presentation/Video Essay
- Film Log (Part One)
- Draft Abstract
- Film Log (Part Two)
- Course Participation
- Final Assignment

Effective Communication

We want to develop in our students the ability to communicate and convey their views in forms effective with different audiences. We want our graduates to take with them the capability to read, listen, question, gather and evaluate information resources in a variety of formats, assess, write clearly, speak effectively, and to use visual communication and communication technologies as appropriate.

This graduate capability is supported by:

**Learning outcomes**

- Understand the main debates relevant to specific sub-regions of Film Studies
- Develop an expanded historical knowledge of (mainly) post 1950s film and film theory
- Interpret and analyse a wide range of recent film-cultural theories and practices of filmmaking
- Produce and communicate work in a manner consistent with accepted academic standards in written and spoken forms
- Develop skills in film-critical research and film-textual analysis
• Evaluate and appreciate different stylistic modes of writing about films

Assessment tasks

• Oral Presentation/Video Essay
• Film Log (Part One)
• Draft Abstract
• Film Log (Part Two)
• Course Participation
• Final Assignment

Engaged and Ethical Local and Global citizens

As local citizens our graduates will be aware of indigenous perspectives and of the nation's historical context. They will be engaged with the challenges of contemporary society and with knowledge and ideas. We want our graduates to have respect for diversity, to be open-minded, sensitive to others and inclusive, and to be open to other cultures and perspectives: they should have a level of cultural literacy. Our graduates should be aware of disadvantage and social justice, and be willing to participate to help create a wiser and better society.

This graduate capability is supported by:

Learning outcomes

• Understand the main debates relevant to specific sub-regions of Film Studies
• Develop skills in film-critical research and film-textual analysis
• Evaluate and appreciate different stylistic modes of writing about films

Assessment tasks

• Oral Presentation/Video Essay
• Film Log (Part One)
• Draft Abstract
• Film Log (Part Two)
• Course Participation
• Final Assignment

Socially and Environmentally Active and Responsible

We want our graduates to be aware of and have respect for self and others; to be able to work with others as a leader and a team player; to have a sense of connectedness with others and country; and to have a sense of mutual obligation. Our graduates should be informed and active participants in moving society towards sustainability.

This graduate capability is supported by:
Assessment tasks

• Film Log (Part One)
• Film Log (Part Two)
• Final Assignment

Capable of Professional and Personal Judgement and Initiative

We want our graduates to have emotional intelligence and sound interpersonal skills and to demonstrate discernment and common sense in their professional and personal judgement. They will exercise initiative as needed. They will be capable of risk assessment, and be able to handle ambiguity and complexity, enabling them to be adaptable in diverse and changing environments.

This graduate capability is supported by:

Learning outcomes

• Understand the main debates relevant to specific sub-regions of Film Studies
• Evaluate and appreciate different stylistic modes of writing about films

Assessment tasks

• Oral Presentation/Video Essay
• Film Log (Part One)
• Draft Abstract
• Film Log (Part Two)
• Course Participation
• Final Assignment

Commitment to Continuous Learning

Our graduates will have enquiring minds and a literate curiosity which will lead them to pursue knowledge for its own sake. They will continue to pursue learning in their careers and as they participate in the world. They will be capable of reflecting on their experiences and relationships with others and the environment, learning from them, and growing - personally, professionally and socially.

This graduate capability is supported by:

Learning outcomes

• Understand the main debates relevant to specific sub-regions of Film Studies
• Develop an expanded historical knowledge of (mainly) post 1950s film and film theory
• Interpret and analyse a wide range of recent film-cultural theories and practices of filmmaking
• Produce and communicate work in a manner consistent with accepted academic
standards in written and spoken forms
• Develop skills in film-critical research and film-textual analysis
• Evaluate and appreciate different stylistic modes of writing about films

Assessment tasks
• Film Log (Part One)
• Film Log (Part Two)
• Course Participation
• Final Assignment

Changes from Previous Offering
The main changes to the course are as follows: *We have organised the course into 2 modules (rather than 3) to better reflect the course content and also include the break that comes after week 7 as a natural segue into the next module.* The following screenings have been added: Blackfish (Guliana Copperthwaite, 2013), Do the Right Thing (Spike Lee, 1989) and The Reluctant Fundamentalist (Mira Nair, 2012). *I am also experimenting with a Visual Essay (as an option) instead of the Group Presentation. Also, the Visual Essay is an option for the final assignment instead of a traditional essay.* Six new readings have been changed in accordance to feedback with tutors and students.

Introduction and Aims
MAS 304, Screens, Images, Ideas, engages with some of the influential ideas, issues and theory in contemporary cinema and TV. This year our course theme is ‘political filmmaking’ and all our films, as well as many of our readings, will interrogate this notion, whether it manifests in the style, content, central characters, form of the film or the risks the filmmakers themselves have taken in making the films.

Each week of study integrates screenings of selected films with discussions of specific readings. The course complements and develops concepts introduced in MAS 205. In this course you will be offered a series of methods for developing your analytical approach to screen texts, with a focus on some key theoretical debates in cinema over the last 30 years. These four things (film, lecture, readings, discussion) constitute our curriculum.

MAS 304 aims to:
• contribute to your viewing background by introducing you to films that (in many cases) may fall outside of your normal viewing;
• consider the relationship between screen theories and screen practices;
• equip you with conceptual skills for a more informed and confident engagement with a visually saturated world.
• generate discussion about fiction, non-fiction, long-form TV and documentary film forms and the cross currents between them;
bring you into contact with forms of essayistic writing you might not have encountered in your everyday reading on film and cultural matters

place you in an intellectual space that prompts you to consider some significant critical and cultural discourses that have been attached to these films in the course of their cultural lives.

From the various approaches introduced in this course you are encouraged to build up your own way of understanding films. This course has been organised as two modules around some key topics in contemporary cinema:

Ø **Module One (Weeks One – Seven):** From high-concept Hollywood to Iranian minimalism: Global Worlds/Divided Communities

Ø **Module Two (Weeks Eight – Thirteen):** Auteurs & Non-conformists

These topics are, of course, not mutually exclusive. MAS 304 aims to generate debate and discussion both within and across these modules. The material presented in this course is not meant to be exhaustive but is structured to give you a taste of some of the incredibly diverse, creative and challenging work that is out there. We kick off the course with Hollywood and end with new Hollywood and explore many things in between; transnational cinema, third cinema, long-form TV, animation, guerilla filmmaking, short films, accented and diasporic filmmaking.