



PHIX383

Philosophy Capstone Unit

S1 OUA 2018

Dept of Philosophy

Contents

<u>General Information</u>	2
<u>Learning Outcomes</u>	2
<u>General Assessment Information</u>	3
<u>Assessment Tasks</u>	4
<u>Delivery and Resources</u>	7
<u>Unit Schedule</u>	7
<u>Policies and Procedures</u>	13
<u>Graduate Capabilities</u>	15
<u>Changes from Previous Offering</u>	20

Disclaimer

Macquarie University has taken all reasonable measures to ensure the information in this publication is accurate and up-to-date. However, the information may change or become out-dated as a result of change in University policies, procedures or rules. The University reserves the right to make changes to any information in this publication without notice. Users of this publication are advised to check the website version of this publication [or the relevant faculty or department] before acting on any information in this publication.

General Information

Unit convenor and teaching staff

Convenor and Tutor

Jane Johnson

jane.johnson@mq.edu.au

Jane Johnson

jane.johnson@mq.edu.au

Prerequisites

Corequisites

Co-badged status

Unit description

This unit provides students with the opportunity to integrate knowledge acquired in their study of philosophy, to reflect on the development of their skills, and to focus on how their study of philosophy equips them for the next step in their careers. We review the philosophy graduate attributes: the knowledge, skills, methods and values developed in the course of the philosophy degree. With a focus on what these skills and values mean in practice, we examine a range of texts that both illustrate the diversity of philosophical approaches and represent the 'state of the art' in the field. By applying critical thinking, problem-solving, and creative skills to these texts, as well as philosophical values of intellectual humility and openness to the force of the better argument, students will learn what it is like to engage in live philosophical debate. We also look at the values cultivated through the study of philosophy and we consider how the skills and values acquired through the degree can be taken forward into further study, work, and applied in other areas of life. All enrolment queries should be directed to Open Universities Australia (OUA): see www.open.edu.au

Important Academic Dates

Information about important academic dates including deadlines for withdrawing from units are available at <https://www.open.edu.au/student-admin-and-support/key-dates/>

Learning Outcomes

On successful completion of this unit, you will be able to:

Identification of the distinctive attributes acquired and developed in the course of the philosophy degree.

Understanding of the diversity of philosophical approaches and traditions as exemplified

in the different approaches and methods for doing and writing philosophy.

Enhanced reasoning and critical thinking skills.

Enhanced imaginative, creative and reflective abilities.

Enhanced ability to demonstrate precision of thought and expression in the analysis and formulation of complex and controversial problems, verbally and in writing.

Applied understanding of the philosophical values of intellectual openness, humility, and honesty in recognising the force of conclusions reached by a careful assessment of arguments.

General Assessment Information

Assessments are to be submitted through Turnitin, and will be marked and returned via Grademark. For information about these tools, see:

http://www.mq.edu.au/iLearn/student_info/assignments.htm

Extensions and Special Consideration

Requests for extensions must, normally, be made in writing before the due date. Extensions of up to 3 days can be granted by your convenor if reasonable grounds are given, and some written documentation can be produced. Work load from other units, or from employment, are not considered reasonable justification.

Requests for extensions of more than 3 days should be submitted via a Special Consideration request, which is available in the <http://ask.mq.edu.au> portal. Your request should be accompanied by appropriate documentation, such as a medical certificate. Please see the Special Consideration policy in the list of policies at the end of this document for further details.

Read the policy closely as your request may be turned down if you have not followed procedure, or if you have not submitted a request in a timely manner.

Late Submission Penalty

Unless a Special Consideration request has been submitted and approved, (a) a penalty for lateness will apply – two (2) marks out of 100 will be deducted per day for assignments submitted after the due date – and (b) no assignment will be accepted more than seven (7) days (incl. weekends) after the original submission deadline. No late submissions will be accepted for timed assessments – e.g. quizzes, online tests.

Academic Honesty

In Philosophy, academic honesty is taken very seriously. Misrepresenting someone else's work as your own may be grounds for referral to the Faculty Disciplinary Committee. If you have questions about how to properly cite work or how to credit sources, please talk to one of the teaching staff and see also the Academic Honesty Policy http://mq.edu.au/policy/docs/academic_honesty/policy.html

Please note that the policy also prohibits resubmitting work you have already submitted in another unit or unit offering. This counts as **self-plagiarism**. To avoid self-plagiarism, if you have

done this unit previously, you should write on another topic this time. If this presents you with any problems, please contact the unit covenor as soon as possible.

For information about extensions, late penalties and special consideration, see *Policies and Procedures* section below.

Assessment Tasks

Name	Weighting	Hurdle	Due
<u>Text analyses</u>	30%	No	Midnight, Sundays Wks 5, 8, 11
<u>Reflective Portfolio Blog</u>	15%	No	Ongoing, due Sunday Wk 12
<u>Participation</u>	15%	No	Ongoing
<u>Essay</u>	40%	No	Midnight, Sunday Wk 13

Text analyses

Due: **Midnight, Sundays Wks 5, 8, 11**

Weighting: **30%**

The text analyses are a series of short (500 word) writing exercises. There are three text analyses due throughout the Study Period. Each text analysis covers one of the three sections of the unit and is worth 10 marks each. Together, the text analyses are worth 30 marks.

This task will be assessed by the following criteria: content, structure, critical analysis and written expression. A detailed rubric for this task will be supplied on iLearn.

On successful completion you will be able to:

- Identification of the distinctive attributes acquired and developed in the course of the philosophy degree.
- Understanding of the diversity of philosophical approaches and traditions as exemplified in the different approaches and methods for doing and writing philosophy.
- Enhanced reasoning and critical thinking skills.
- Enhanced imaginative, creative and reflective abilities.
- Enhanced ability to demonstrate precision of thought and expression in the analysis and formulation of complex and controversial problems, verbally and in writing.

Reflective Portfolio Blog

Due: **Ongoing, due Sunday Wk 12**

Weighting: **15%**

The reflective portfolio blog is designed to encourage you to reflect each week on

1. the knowledge acquired through the study of philosophy,
2. skills developed through the program of study,
3. philosophical values, and
4. different philosophical approaches and methodologies.

You are expected to make at least one entry in your reflective portfolio blog for each week of content in a timely fashion (by the end of the next week). Your entry or entries should include, but are not limited to, creative and critical personal reflections on the unit content of that week, as well as broader reflections on philosophy and philosophical methodologies. All blog entries up to the due date will together constitute the reflective portfolio and will receive an overall grade weighted at 15% of your overall mark. Your blog posts can only be seen by you and the unit convenor/tutor.

This task will be assessed using the following criteria: content, structure, creativity, reflective insights, critical analysis and written expression. A detailed rubric for this task will be supplied on iLearn.

You will be assessed on blog posts covering weeks 2-11, and the blog must be finalised by Sunday of week 12.

Submission: blog through iLearn. For information on how to blog in iLearn see: http://mq.edu.au/iLearn/student_info/activities.htm#blog

On successful completion you will be able to:

- Identification of the distinctive attributes acquired and developed in the course of the philosophy degree.
- Understanding of the diversity of philosophical approaches and traditions as exemplified in the different approaches and methods for doing and writing philosophy.
- Enhanced reasoning and critical thinking skills.
- Enhanced imaginative, creative and reflective abilities.
- Enhanced ability to demonstrate precision of thought and expression in the analysis and formulation of complex and controversial problems, verbally and in writing.
- Applied understanding of the philosophical values of intellectual openness, humility, and honesty in recognising the force of conclusions reached by a careful assessment of arguments.

Participation

Due: **Ongoing**

Weighting: **15%**

Discussion is a vital part of learning in philosophy. Students will discuss unit material via the discussion forum.

This task will be assessed by the following criteria: quality of your posts and their timeliness (you should post within a week of the topic). Quality is not just measured by the philosophical content of your posts, but by your willingness to engage in discussion with your peers.

On successful completion you will be able to:

- Understanding of the diversity of philosophical approaches and traditions as exemplified in the different approaches and methods for doing and writing philosophy.
- Enhanced reasoning and critical thinking skills.
- Enhanced imaginative, creative and reflective abilities.
- Enhanced ability to demonstrate precision of thought and expression in the analysis and formulation of complex and controversial problems, verbally and in writing.
- Applied understanding of the philosophical values of intellectual openness, humility, and honesty in recognising the force of conclusions reached by a careful assessment of arguments.

Essay

Due: **Midnight, Sunday Wk 13**

Weighting: **40%**

The essay (2500 words) is designed to extend your understanding of a specific topic or issue and to test your ability to engage with that topic in depth. Essay writing tests your ability to synthesise material from a range of readings and to express, analyse and structure key ideas and arguments clearly, logically and systematically. It also tests your ability to develop your own view, and to argue for that view in a cogent and sustained way. You will be expected to undertake research beyond the required readings and to incorporate that further research into your essay.

This task will be assessed by the following criteria: content, structure, argument and critical analysis, written expression and referencing. A detailed rubric for this task will be supplied on iLearn.

On successful completion you will be able to:

- Understanding of the diversity of philosophical approaches and traditions as exemplified in the different approaches and methods for doing and writing philosophy.
- Enhanced reasoning and critical thinking skills.
- Enhanced imaginative, creative and reflective abilities.
- Enhanced ability to demonstrate precision of thought and expression in the analysis and formulation of complex and controversial problems, verbally and in writing.

- Applied understanding of the philosophical values of intellectual openness, humility, and honesty in recognising the force of conclusions reached by a careful assessment of arguments.

Delivery and Resources

REQUIRED AND RECOMMENDED TEXTS AND/OR MATERIALS

All the weekly readings for the unit will be made available through iLearn.

Additional readings will be suggested in iLearn.

TECHNOLOGY USED AND REQUIRED

Online units can be accessed at: <http://ilearn.mq.edu.au>

The unit uses the following technology: iLearn

Unit Schedule

Important schedule information: Please note that OUA units offered by Macquarie University now follow Macquarie Sessions rather than OUA Study Periods. This will include a mid-session break of two weeks. You will find the relevant dates in the schedule below.

Week 1 (week beginning 26/2)	Introduction: What is a Capstone Unit? <ul style="list-style-type: none">• What is a capstone unit?• An overview of the 3 streams in the Department of Philosophy at Macquarie University – Mind, Metaphysics and Meaning; Social Philosophy and Continental Philosophy; Ethics and Applied Ethics.• Our focus in this unit is on the methodologies and philosophical self-understandings across these three streams. How is philosophy done? What is the culture of philosophy?• Unit outline.• Required Reading:<ul style="list-style-type: none">o No reading• Further Reading:<ul style="list-style-type: none">o Janice Moulton, 'A Paradigm of Philosophy: The Adversary Method', in S. Harding and M. Hintikka (eds) <i>Discovering Reality</i> (Dordrecht: Reidel, 1983), pp. 149-164.o Sally Haslanger, 'Changing the Ideology and Culture of Philosophy: Not by Reason (Alone)', <i>Hypatia</i>, 23(2), 2008: 210-223.
--	---

<p>Week 2</p> <p>(w/b 5/3)</p>	<p>The Analytical vs. Continental divide.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Much of contemporary philosophy is determined by the analytical vs. continental divide. What is this divide? What is the history of the divide? · What are the 'essentialist' and 'deflationary' responses to the divide? · What is the on-going relevance of the divide today and into the future? · Required Reading and Listening: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> o Jack Reynolds, James Chase, James Williams and Edwin Mares, "Introduction: Postanalytic and Metacontinental Philosophy", <i>Postanalytic and Metacontinental Philosophy: Crossing Philosophical Divides</i>, ed. James William et. al., Continuum: London, 2010, pp. 1-4. o 'In our time' with Melvyn Bragg, 'Podcast, Analytic-Continental Philosophy Split', 10 Nov 11, with Stephen Mulhall of New College, Beatrice Han-Pile, Hans Johann-Glock. Available from: http://www.bbc.co.uk/podcasts/series/iot/all · Further Reading <ul style="list-style-type: none"> o Peter Simons (2001): Whose Fault? The Origins and Inevitability of the Analytic–Continental Rift, <i>International Journal of Philosophical Studies</i>, 9:3, 295-311. o Postanalytic and Metacontinental Philosophy: Crossing Philosophical Divides, ed. James William et. al., Continuum: London, 2010, pp. 1-4. o James Chase and Jack Reynolds, <i>Analytic versus Continental</i>, Acumen: Durham, 2011.
<p>Week 3</p> <p>(w/b 12/3)</p>	<p>Ethics And Applied Ethics Stream</p> <p>Methods in Moral Philosophy: The Case of Evil</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> · What methods are used in contemporary moral philosophy? · This question will be explored through the prism of a particular topic: moral evil. How do we develop and test a moral theory, such as a theory of evil? · Required Reading: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> o Paul Formosa, 'Evils, Wrongs and Dignity: How to Test a Theory of Evil', <i>Journal of Value Inquiry</i>, 2013, DOI: 10.1007/s10790-013-9380-2. · Further Reading: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> o Dews, Peter. <i>The Idea of Evil</i>. Oxford: Blackwell, 2008. o Formosa, Paul. "A Conception of Evil." <i>Journal of Value Inquiry</i> 42, no. 2 (2008): 217-239. o Morton, Adam. <i>On Evil</i>. New York: Routledge, 2004. o Russell, Luke. "Evil Revivalism Versus Evil-Skepticism." <i>Journal of Value Inquiry</i> 40 (2006): 89-105. o Scanlon, T.M., 2002, 'Rawls on Justification', in <i>The Cambridge Companion to Rawls</i>, S. Freeman (ed.), Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, pp. 139–167.

<p>Week 4</p> <p>(w/b 19/3)</p>	<p>Ethics and Cognitive Science.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> What is the relationship between ethics and science? In particular, how do findings in cognitive science and social psychology impact on our ethical theorising? What are some of the problems that can arise when we try to draw ethical conclusions from scientific findings? <p>Required Reading:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Joshua D. Greene, The Secret Joke of Kant's Soul, pp. 35 – 80, in <i>Moral Psychology</i>, Volume 3: <i>The Neuroscience of Morality</i>, ed. Walter Sinnott-Armstrong (Cambridge: MIT Press, 2008). <p>Further Reading</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> John Mikhail, Moral Cognition and Computational Theory; Mark Timmons, Toward a Sentimentalist Deontology; Joshua D. Greene, Reply to Mikhail and Timmons; and the other papers in <i>Moral Psychology Volume 3: The Neuroscience of Morality: Emotion, Brain Disorders, and Development</i>, edited by Walter Sinnott-Armstrong, 35-80. Cambridge, Mass.: MIT Press, 2008. L. May, Michael Friedman & A. Clark (eds.) (1996). <i>Mind and Morals: Essays on Ethics and Cognitive Science</i>. MIT Press. The Emotional Dog and Its Rational Tail: A Social Intuitionist Approach to Moral Judgment, Jonathan Haidt <i>Psychological Review</i>, 2001. Vol. 108. No. 4, 814-83.4 Jeanette Kennett, Do psychopaths really threaten moral rationalism? <i>Philosophical Explorations</i> (2006) Vol. 9, 69-82.
<p>Week 5</p> <p>(w/b 26/3)</p>	<p>Methods in Political Philosophy: Ideal Theory</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> What methods are used in contemporary political philosophy? How does the method of transcendental institutionalism compare with realization focused comparison? <p>Required Reading:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Amartya Sen, 'Introduction' in <i>The Idea of Justice</i>, Harvard University Press: Cambridge, 2009. <p>Further Reading</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Rawls, John. <i>Political Liberalism</i>. New York: Columbia University Press, 1993. Rawls, John. <i>A Theory of Justice</i>. Cambridge, Massachusetts: Belknap Press of Harvard University Press, 1971. G.A. Cohen, <i>On the Currency of Egalitarian Justice, and Other Essays in Political Philosophy</i>, Michael Otsuka (ed.), Princeton University Press, 2011.

<p>Week 6</p> <p>(w/b 2/4)</p>	<p><i>Social Philosophy and Continental Philosophy Stream</i></p> <p>Classical German Philosophy and Its Contemporary Significance</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> What is the contemporary relevance of classical German philosophy and of Hegel in particular? How does philosophy's history impact on its present form? Required Reading: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Robert Pippin, "Hegel's Ethical Rationalism", from his <i>Idealism as Modernism</i>, pp. 417-450, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1997. Further Reading <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Hegel, <i>Elements of the Philosophy of Right</i>, §§142-157, trans. H. B. Nisbet, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1991, pp. 189-198. Allen Wood, <i>Hegel's Ethical Thought</i>, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990, pp. 195-209.
<p>Week 7</p> <p>(w/b 9/4)</p>	<p>Contemporary Critical Theory</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> What is contemporary critical theory and what methods does it employ? The place of philosophy in the society and politics of its time. Does philosophy's place in its society and time impact on its methods? Required Reading: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Jürgen Habermas, "The relationship between Theory and Practice Revisited", in <i>Truth and Justification</i>, trans. B. Fultner, MIT Press, 2003, pp. 277-292. Further Reading <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Max Horkheimer, "Traditional and Critical Theory", in <i>Critical Theory. Selected Essays</i>, NY, Continuum, 2002, pp. 188-243.
	<p><u>There is a two week mid-semester break after week 7, from 16/4 - 29/4</u></p>

<p>Week 8</p> <p>(w/b 30/4)</p>	<p>Analytical and Continental Aesthetics</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> How do the analytic and continental philosophical traditions approach aesthetics? What are the differences and similarities in terms of methods? <p>Required Reading:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Jacques Rancière, 'The Aesthetic Dimension: Aesthetics, Politics, Knowledge', <i>Critical Inquiry</i>, 36(1), 2009. Noel Carroll, 'Moderate Moralism', in <i>Beyond Aesthetics: Philosophical Essays</i> (Cambridge University Press, 2001), pp. 293-316 <p>Further Reading</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Jacques Rancière, 'The Intolerable Image', Chapter Four of his <i>The Emancipated Spectator</i>, trans. Gregory Elliot (London/ New York: Verso, 2009), 83-105. Jean-Philippe Deranty, 'Regimes of the Arts' in J-P. Deranty (ed.) <i>Jacques Rancière: Key Concepts</i> (Durham: Continuum, 2010), 116-130. Susan L. Feagin, 'Film Appreciation and Moral Insensitivity', <i>Midwestern Studies in Philosophy</i> XXXIV (2010: 20-33).
<p>Week 9</p> <p>(w/b 7/5)</p>	<p><i>Mind, Metaphysics and Meaning Stream</i></p> <p>Conceptual Analysis and philosophical method</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> What is conceptual analysis and what roles does it play in analytic philosophical methodology? What are some of the problems and limitations of this approach? What are some of the advantages? <p>Required Reading:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Chris Daly, <i>An introduction to philosophical method</i>, Broadview Press 2010. <p>Further Reading:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Laura Schroeter (2004). The Limits of Conceptual Analysis. <i>Pacific Philosophical Quarterly</i> 85 (4):425-453.score: 90.0 Frank Jackson, 'The Role of Conceptual Analysis', in <i>From Metaphysics to Ethics</i>, Clarendon: Oxford, 1998. Stephen Laurence & Eric Margolis (2003). Concepts and Conceptual Analysis. <i>Philosophy and Phenomenological Research</i> 67 (2):253-282. David Plunkett (2011). Expressivism, Representation, and the Nature of Conceptual Analysis. <i>Philosophical Studies</i> 156 (1):15-31.

<p>Week 10 (w/b 14/5)</p>	<p>Science, Naturalism and Philosophy</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> What is the relationship between philosophy and science? What is naturalism? How does naturalism impact on how we understand philosophy's methods? <p>Required Reading:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> David Macarthur and Mario De Caro, 'Introduction - the Nature of Naturalism,' in <i>Naturalism in Question</i>, eds. De Caro, M. and Macarthur, D, Cambridge, Mass: Harvard University Press, 2004. <p>Further Reading:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Robert Audi (2000). Philosophical Naturalism at the Turn of the Century. <i>Journal of Philosophical Research</i> 25:27-45. Mario de Caro & David Macarthur (eds.), <i>Naturalism and Normativity</i>. Columbia University Press. 2010. John R. Shook & Paul Kurtz (eds.), <i>The Future of Naturalism</i>. Humanity Books. 2009. Penelope Maddy (2001). Naturalism: Friends and Foes. <i>Noûs</i> 35 (s15):37-67.
<p>Week 11 (w/b 21/5)</p>	<p>Experimental Philosophy</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> What is experimental philosophy? What are the aims and methods of experimental philosophy? What is the philosophical significance of experimental philosophy? <p>Required Reading:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Joshua Knobe, 'Experimental Philosophy', <i>Philosophy Compass</i> 2/1 (2007): 81–92. <p>Further Reading:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Robert L. Woolfolk (2013). Experimental Philosophy: A Methodological Critique. <i>Metaphilosophy</i> 44 (1-2):79-87. Joshua Knobe & Shaun Nichols (eds.) (2008). <i>Experimental Philosophy</i>. Oxford University Press. Paul E. Griffiths & Karola Stotz (2008). Experimental Philosophy of Science. <i>Philosophy Compass</i> 3 (3):507–521. Joshua Alexander (2010). Is Experimental Philosophy Philosophically Significant? <i>Philosophical Psychology</i> 23 (3):377-389.
<p>Week 12 (w/b 28/5)</p>	<p>A Philosophical Education – Conclusion.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> What is the value and purpose of a philosophical education? What is the value and use of different philosophical methods? What is the role of philosophy in academia, the university and society more generally? What use can be made of a philosophical education? E.g. Masters of Research (MRes), PhDs, other career options, etc. <p>Required Reading:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> No reading.

Policies and Procedures

Late Submission - applies unless otherwise stated elsewhere in the unit guide

Unless a Special Consideration request has been submitted and approved, (a) a penalty for lateness will apply – two (2) marks out of 100 will be deducted per day for assignments submitted after the due date – and (b) no assignment will be accepted more than seven (7) days (incl. weekends) after the original submission deadline. No late submissions will be accepted for timed assessments – e.g. quizzes, online tests.

Extension Request

Special Consideration Policy and Procedure
(<https://staff.mq.edu.au/work/strategy-planning-and-governance/university-policies-and-procedures/policies/special-consideration>)

The University recognises that students may experience events or conditions that adversely affect their academic performance. If you experience serious and unavoidable difficulties at exam time or when assessment tasks are due, you can consider applying for Special Consideration.

You need to show that the circumstances:

1. were serious, unexpected and unavoidable
2. were beyond your control
3. caused substantial disruption to your academic work
4. substantially interfered with your otherwise satisfactory fulfilment of the unit requirements
5. lasted at least three consecutive days or a total of 5 days within the teaching period and prevented completion of an assessment task scheduled for a specific date.

If you feel that your studies have been impacted submit an application as follows:

1. Visit [Ask MQ](#) and use your OneID to log in
2. Fill in your relevant details
3. Attach supporting documents by clicking 'Add a reply', click 'Browse' and navigating to the files you want to attach, then click 'Submit Form' to send your notification and supporting documents
4. Please keep copies of your original documents, as they may be requested in the

future as part of the assessment process

Outcome

Once your submission is assessed, an appropriate outcome will be organised.

OUA Specific Policies and Procedures

Withdrawal from a unit after the census date

You can withdraw from your subjects prior to [the census date](#) (last day to withdraw). If you successfully withdraw before the census date, you won't need to apply for Special Circumstances. If you find yourself unable to withdraw from your subjects before the census date - you might be able to [apply for Special Circumstances](#). If you're eligible, we can refund your fees and overturn your fail grade.

If you're studying Single Subjects using FEE-HELP or paying up front, you can [apply online](#).

If you're studying a degree using HECS-HELP, you'll need to [apply directly to Macquarie University](#).

Macquarie University policies and procedures are accessible from [Policy Central](https://staff.mq.edu.au/work/strategy-planning-and-governance/university-policies-and-procedures/policy-central) (<https://staff.mq.edu.au/work/strategy-planning-and-governance/university-policies-and-procedures/policy-central>). Students should be aware of the following policies in particular with regard to Learning and Teaching:

- [Academic Appeals Policy](#)
- [Academic Integrity Policy](#)
- [Academic Progression Policy](#)
- [Assessment Policy](#)
- [Fitness to Practice Procedure](#)
- [Grade Appeal Policy](#)
- [Complaint Management Procedure for Students and Members of the Public](#)
- [Special Consideration Policy](#) (**Note:** *The Special Consideration Policy is effective from 4 December 2017 and replaces the Disruption to Studies Policy.*)

Undergraduate students seeking more policy resources can visit the [Student Policy Gateway](https://students.mq.edu.au/support/study/student-policy-gateway) (<https://students.mq.edu.au/support/study/student-policy-gateway>). It is your one-stop-shop for the key policies you need to know about throughout your undergraduate student journey.

If you would like to see all the policies relevant to Learning and Teaching visit [Policy Central](https://staff.mq.edu.au/work/strategy-planning-and-governance/university-policies-and-procedures/policy-central) (<https://staff.mq.edu.au/work/strategy-planning-and-governance/university-policies-and-procedures/policy-central>).

Student Code of Conduct

Macquarie University students have a responsibility to be familiar with the Student Code of Conduct: <https://students.mq.edu.au/study/getting-started/student-conduct>

Results

Results shown in *iLearn*, or released directly by your Unit Convenor, are not confirmed as they are subject to final approval by the University. Once approved, final results will be sent to your student email address and will be made available in [eStudent](#). For more information visit [ask.mq.edu.au](#).

Student Support

Macquarie University provides a range of support services for students. For details, visit <http://students.mq.edu.au/support/>

Learning Skills

Learning Skills (mq.edu.au/learningskills) provides academic writing resources and study strategies to improve your marks and take control of your study.

- [Workshops](#)
- [StudyWise](#)
- [Academic Integrity Module for Students](#)
- [Ask a Learning Adviser](#)

Student Services and Support

Students with a disability are encouraged to contact the [Disability Service](#) who can provide appropriate help with any issues that arise during their studies.

Student Enquiries

For all student enquiries, visit Student Connect at ask.mq.edu.au

IT Help

For help with University computer systems and technology, visit http://www.mq.edu.au/about_us/offices_and_units/information_technology/help/.

When using the University's IT, you must adhere to the [Acceptable Use of IT Resources Policy](#). The policy applies to all who connect to the MQ network including students.

Graduate Capabilities

Creative and Innovative

Our graduates will also be capable of creative thinking and of creating knowledge. They will be imaginative and open to experience and capable of innovation at work and in the community. We want them to be engaged in applying their critical, creative thinking.

This graduate capability is supported by:

Learning outcomes

- Identification of the distinctive attributes acquired and developed in the course of the philosophy degree.
- Enhanced imaginative, creative and reflective abilities.

Assessment tasks

- Text analyses
- Reflective Portfolio Blog
- Participation
- Essay

Capable of Professional and Personal Judgement and Initiative

We want our graduates to have emotional intelligence and sound interpersonal skills and to demonstrate discernment and common sense in their professional and personal judgement. They will exercise initiative as needed. They will be capable of risk assessment, and be able to handle ambiguity and complexity, enabling them to be adaptable in diverse and changing environments.

This graduate capability is supported by:

Learning outcomes

- Enhanced ability to demonstrate precision of thought and expression in the analysis and formulation of complex and controversial problems, verbally and in writing.
- Applied understanding of the philosophical values of intellectual openness, humility, and honesty in recognising the force of conclusions reached by a careful assessment of arguments.

Assessment tasks

- Text analyses
- Reflective Portfolio Blog
- Participation
- Essay

Commitment to Continuous Learning

Our graduates will have enquiring minds and a literate curiosity which will lead them to pursue knowledge for its own sake. They will continue to pursue learning in their careers and as they participate in the world. They will be capable of reflecting on their experiences and relationships with others and the environment, learning from them, and growing - personally, professionally and socially.

This graduate capability is supported by:

Learning outcomes

- Identification of the distinctive attributes acquired and developed in the course of the philosophy degree.
- Enhanced ability to demonstrate precision of thought and expression in the analysis and formulation of complex and controversial problems, verbally and in writing.

Assessment tasks

- Text analyses
- Reflective Portfolio Blog
- Participation
- Essay

Discipline Specific Knowledge and Skills

Our graduates will take with them the intellectual development, depth and breadth of knowledge, scholarly understanding, and specific subject content in their chosen fields to make them competent and confident in their subject or profession. They will be able to demonstrate, where relevant, professional technical competence and meet professional standards. They will be able to articulate the structure of knowledge of their discipline, be able to adapt discipline-specific knowledge to novel situations, and be able to contribute from their discipline to inter-disciplinary solutions to problems.

This graduate capability is supported by:

Learning outcomes

- Identification of the distinctive attributes acquired and developed in the course of the philosophy degree.
- Understanding of the diversity of philosophical approaches and traditions as exemplified in the different approaches and methods for doing and writing philosophy.
- Applied understanding of the philosophical values of intellectual openness, humility, and honesty in recognising the force of conclusions reached by a careful assessment of arguments.

Assessment tasks

- Text analyses
- Reflective Portfolio Blog
- Participation
- Essay

Critical, Analytical and Integrative Thinking

We want our graduates to be capable of reasoning, questioning and analysing, and to integrate and synthesise learning and knowledge from a range of sources and environments; to be able to critique constraints, assumptions and limitations; to be able to think independently and systemically in relation to scholarly activity, in the workplace, and in the world. We want them to have a level of scientific and information technology literacy.

This graduate capability is supported by:

Learning outcomes

- Identification of the distinctive attributes acquired and developed in the course of the philosophy degree.
- Understanding of the diversity of philosophical approaches and traditions as exemplified in the different approaches and methods for doing and writing philosophy.
- Enhanced reasoning and critical thinking skills.
- Enhanced ability to demonstrate precision of thought and expression in the analysis and formulation of complex and controversial problems, verbally and in writing.
- Applied understanding of the philosophical values of intellectual openness, humility, and honesty in recognising the force of conclusions reached by a careful assessment of arguments.

Assessment tasks

- Text analyses
- Reflective Portfolio Blog
- Participation
- Essay

Problem Solving and Research Capability

Our graduates should be capable of researching; of analysing, and interpreting and assessing data and information in various forms; of drawing connections across fields of knowledge; and they should be able to relate their knowledge to complex situations at work or in the world, in order to diagnose and solve problems. We want them to have the confidence to take the initiative in doing so, within an awareness of their own limitations.

This graduate capability is supported by:

Learning outcomes

- Understanding of the diversity of philosophical approaches and traditions as exemplified in the different approaches and methods for doing and writing philosophy.
- Enhanced ability to demonstrate precision of thought and expression in the analysis and

formulation of complex and controversial problems, verbally and in writing.

Assessment tasks

- Text analyses
- Reflective Portfolio Blog
- Participation
- Essay

Effective Communication

We want to develop in our students the ability to communicate and convey their views in forms effective with different audiences. We want our graduates to take with them the capability to read, listen, question, gather and evaluate information resources in a variety of formats, assess, write clearly, speak effectively, and to use visual communication and communication technologies as appropriate.

This graduate capability is supported by:

Learning outcomes

- Enhanced ability to demonstrate precision of thought and expression in the analysis and formulation of complex and controversial problems, verbally and in writing.
- Applied understanding of the philosophical values of intellectual openness, humility, and honesty in recognising the force of conclusions reached by a careful assessment of arguments.

Assessment tasks

- Text analyses
- Reflective Portfolio Blog
- Participation
- Essay

Engaged and Ethical Local and Global citizens

As local citizens our graduates will be aware of indigenous perspectives and of the nation's historical context. They will be engaged with the challenges of contemporary society and with knowledge and ideas. We want our graduates to have respect for diversity, to be open-minded, sensitive to others and inclusive, and to be open to other cultures and perspectives: they should have a level of cultural literacy. Our graduates should be aware of disadvantage and social justice, and be willing to participate to help create a wiser and better society.

This graduate capability is supported by:

Learning outcome

- Applied understanding of the philosophical values of intellectual openness, humility, and

honesty in recognising the force of conclusions reached by a careful assessment of arguments.

Assessment tasks

- Text analyses
- Reflective Portfolio Blog

Changes from Previous Offering

Change to assessment weighting.